	-			
1	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA			
2	STATE OF ORLAHOMA			
3	STATE OF OKLAHOMA,			
4	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. CF-2021-304			
5	V.) JUDGE THOMAS) MICHAEL ERIC NELSON,)			
6				
7	Defendant.) DISTRICT COURT WASHINGTON CO ON COUNTY CLERK			
8	JILL L. SPITZERI			
9	JUN 1 2023 E Shelly Bates			
10	D OEPUTY.			
11	PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL			
12	HELD ON FEBRUARY 7, 2023, AND FEBRUARY 8, 2023			
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA THOMAS			
14	DISTRICT JUDGE			
15				
16	VOLUME 1 OF 2			
17				
18	APPEARANCES			
19	For the State of Oklahoma: Ms. Zoe Gullett, Assistant District Attorney 420 South Johnstone Avenue			
20				
21	Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74003 For the Defendant: Mr. Kovin Adams Attorney at Law			
22	Mr. Kevin Adams, Attorney at Law			
23	36 East Cameron Street, Number 16 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103			
24	Demonted by: Doe Doe Tarrer CCD			
25	Reported by: Dee Dee Tanner, CSR			

1	INDEX			
2		PAGE	NO.	
2	Opening statement by Ms. Gullett	•	4	
3	Opening statement by Mr. Adams	•	10	
4	WITNESS	PAGE	NO.	·
5	Cynthia Blanchard Direct Examination by Ms. Gullett		33	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams			
_	Redirect Examination by Ms. Gullett	•	128	
7	Recross-Examination by Mr. Adams	•	133	
8	Denise Kramer			
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Gullett Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams		135 146	
9	CIOSS-EXAMINACION by MI. Adams	•	140	
10	Craig Piercy		450	
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Gullett Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams	•	152 158	
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Gullett		163	
12				
13	Elaine Banes Direct Examination by Ms. Gullett		164	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams	•	168	
14	Michael Lee Beiley			
15	Michael Lee Bailey Direct Examination by Ms. Gullett	_	170	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams	•	176	
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

EXHIBIT INVENTORY STATE'S EXHIBITS EX. NO. EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION OFFERED RULING email from draft 10-23-20 email voicemails letter 4A letter Examiner Ent. article Bartlesville Radio article Digital evd. examination report Digital evd. examination report Email to E. Baines 10 Email to M. Bailev **DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS** Email. Restraing order

(On February 7, 2023, all parties present, the following transpired in open court within the presence of the jury. This transcript contains only testimony and opening statements. Bench conferences and any other part of the record was not requested and is not transcribed herein.)

THE COURT: We are now back on the record on -in State of Oklahoma versus Michael Eric Nelson,
Washington County District Court, Case Number CF-2021-304.

Both attorneys have announced that they are ready to proceed. Mr. Adams is present with his client, Mr. Nelson, and the State is present through Zoe Gullett.

Madam Bailiff, would you please direct the jurors to their place in the jury box.

(whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.

Instructions of the Court were read and are not
a part of this transcription. Thereafter,
proceedings resumed as follows:)

THE COURT: At this time, Ms. Gullett, you may read the Information and the plea of the defendant, followed by your opening statement.

MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge.

In the District Court of the Eleventh Judicial District of the State of Oklahoma, sitting in and for Washington County. The State of Oklahoma, plaintiff, versus Michael Eric Nelson, defendant. Case Number

CF-2021-304.

Information for Count 1, Violation of Oklahoma statute via computer, Section 21 O.S. Section 1958, a felony. State of Oklahoma, County of Washington, I, William B. Drake, the undersigned district attorney of said county, in the name and by the authority of the State of Oklahoma, give information that in said county of Washington and in the State of Oklahoma, Michael Eric Nelson did then and there, unlawfully, willfully, knowingly, and wrongfully, commit the crimes of:

Count 1, violation of Oklahoma statute via computer, a felony, on or between the 1st day of June, 2020, and the 6th day of September, 2000, and -- or 2022, did unlawfully communicate by e-mail, a computer system, for the purpose of using such access for stalking in violation of Oklahoma Statute Title 21 O.S. Section 1173, William D. Drake, District Attorney, signed by Zoe Gullett, Assistant District Attorney.

To this charge, the defendant has entered a plea of not guilty.

Ladies and gentlemen, that is the Information or the Indictment in this case, and that is what this case is about. As you heard yesterday at voir dire, we talked a lot about harassment, we talked a lot about stalking, we talked a lot about computers. And as you-all know, we

live in a very modern age where you're able to stalk someone, you're able to harass someone without being in their physical presence, and that's what this case is about.

The State of Oklahoma has a specific statute for using a computer to violate another statute that the State of Oklahoma has. In this case that we are putting forward to you is that Mr. Nelson used a computer to stalk Cynthia and Anthem Blanchard.

You're going to hear from a number of State's witnesses who are going to tell you about the reign of terror that Mr. Nelson has had against Ms. Blanchard and Mr. Blanchard for the last, approximately, four to five years. They're going to tell you about voicemails, e-mails, and certified letters who have been -- that have been sent to the victims in this case, as well as other people that the victims know, and a couple of people that the victims didn't know before the beginning of this case.

They're going to tell you about how e-mails and phone calls have been sent to their personal phones and to addresses that they did not share with him. You're going to hear about how Mr. Nelson has never set foot in the state of Oklahoma, in the City of Bartlesville, until this case was filed.

Ms. Blanchard is going to tell you about how she

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moved to Bartlesville in May of 2020, and how she received various e-mails associated -- or different e-mail accounts associated with Mr. Nelson that include vile accusations, and that on those e-mails were various people, not just herself, including business partners, shareholders of her business, family, friends, as well as a couple of media sources.

She's going to tell you about receiving a voicemail from the defendant and how on that voicemail -- you're going to hear the voicemail -- the defendant talks about a blood feud between his family and her family. She's going to tell you about opening up a business in Bartlesville right over on Frank Phillips in the old courthouse. going to tell you about how they were getting some publicity from opening up that business here through the Examiner Enterprise and the Bartlesville Radio, how there were a couple of articles written about them, that those articles were published online, and that they received -that she received a certified letter from the defendant to that address. She's going to tell you about that letter, and then you're going to get to see the letter, what it says, all the accusations in it, all the things that it says.

You're then going to find out about an e-mail that was sent to the city manager, Mike Bailey, telling the

city manager all these vile things about the Blanchards, about Cynthia Blanchard, about their business, about the new business that opened up on Frank Phillips. They're going to tell you about how they had to get the City Attorney involved.

You're going to hear from a criminalist with OSBI who's going to explain to you that she received Mr. Nelson's phones, analyzed those phones, took data off of those phones, and returned that data to the Bartlesville Police Department, specifically to Detective Lewis, who's here.

And then Detective Lewis is going to take the stand and he's going to tell you how he poured over 96,000 pages of data, looked through all that data, and found that Mr. Nelson, through his phones, searched Bartlesville, Cynthia and Anthem Blanchard, and HeraSoft, which is the company that is over on Frank Phillips.

Finally, you're going to hear from two individuals who are shareholders of the companies owned by Cynthia and Anthem Blanchard who were contacted in September of 2022, by Mr. Nelson. One was contacted and had a conversation over the phone with Mr. Nelson, who, once again, said things of vile nature about the Blanchards, and he's going to testify as to what that conversation was about. He's a shareholder in their company. And then you're going to

hear a voicemail that was left on the phone of another shareholder. And you'll hear what Mr. Nelson has to say about the Blanchards to that individual.

At the end of this, I'm going to ask you to keep in mind everything that you hear, everything that you see, because we talked about in voir dire that stalking, harassment is not just a one-time thing. It is over time, it is a course through time.

So there will be a beginning of a sort, but it's not the beginning, there will be things that happened, and then there will be these things at the end that we're going to talk about. And I ask you to consider all of those as you listen to this today, because at the end of this trial, the State of Oklahoma is going to ask you to find Mr. Nelson guilty, and we are going to ask to you assess punishment appropriate for that.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: Michael Nelson's a whistle-blower.

Cynthia Blanchard is the one -- and her husband and a man by the name of Chad Koehn and a group of friends are people that he's trying to blow the whistle about. They went to the Bartlesville Police Department even before they had any association to do with Bartlesville because Cynthia Blanchard's from here, so that they could complain

and claim that they were a victim because they were attempting to silence Mr. Nelson, and they were attempting to stop him from informing people about their criminal -- fraudulent criminal behavior.

So here's what this case is about and here's what I anticipate the evidence to show. It's a very confusing case and I'm boiling it down, so I'm going to try to give it to you as clear as I -- as clearly as I can.

In November of 2018, okay, Michael Nelson is living in Las Vegas. The Blanchards have a house in Santa Monica, but it's unclear exactly where they live. They're people that call themselves perpetual travelers, and that would described the Blanchards. Okay?

Anthem Blanchard, the husband, which he may or may not testify, he says on his Facebook that he lives in Texas. Okay? I'm not sure where they live, even to this day. They filled out paperwork in Puerto Rico and had an apartment in Puerto Rico so they could get a tax benefit in Puerto Rico and not have to pay taxes. Okay? And so they — there's stuff on the Puerto Rican website that says they live in Puerto Rico.

It's my understanding that they also had an apartment in Dubai for the same reason. And based on their social media posts, you can prove that they were there for months.

But they got sued in the Cayman Islands, okay, because they had a company there. And they also -- when they got sued -- at the time they're claiming they live here in Bartlesville, they got sued in Texas by American Express for over a hundred thousand dollars, and the lawsuit there alleged that the residency was -- at least Anthem's residency was Texas. And we'll be able to ask Ms. Blanchard whether her and her husband lived together.

It is rather confusing, so this is one of the things I'm hoping to figure out during the trial, but I don't want to get off track.

Michael Nelson is living in Las Vegas in November of 2018. He's working for one of the various, many different, companies that the Blanchards own because they keep starting companies — they'll start new companies, start new companies, start new companies, some companies will merge into other companies. It's very difficult to figure it out — what's going on. But he's working for a company by the name of Anthem Vault.

Anthem Blanchard is the adoptive son of a guy that was really involved in the gold industry, and he was named Anthem. Supposedly named after Ayn Rand's book *Anthem*. Okay? He's the husband, and he was involved with the gold business. He met Michael in Las Vegas. So he had what he would -- what he did is -- this Anthem Vault business,

what they would do is they would produce gold. They would sell gold, but they would buy a bar of gold, okay, and then they would sell -- let you buy a piece of it.

So, like, you could buy, like, a gram of gold out of this kilo bar. But the issue with a business like that is: Are you selling more gold -- because nobody knows how much you're selling -- than you actually possess?

Well, Michael's working for the company and he's a computer programmer. One of the things he does is he programs computers. So he wrote them a piece of a program which is based upon blockchain technology, which I don't pretend to know a whole lot about. But my understanding is they got these -- blockchain is the same thing like these Bitcoin which has been in the news lately with some of these -- some of these issues. And the technology's supposed to secure -- be secured to tell people what you're actually doing.

So he sends this blockchain -- he builds this blockchain so that he can verify, basically, if you do -- if you do an audit, that, Hey, this is the amount of gold we actually have, this is the amount of gold that we've actually sold. And then the auditors can come in and say, well, this is what they did, and just to prove to people that, Hey, I'm not selling you, you know, something that I don't own. That was what -- that was kind of the starting

of it.

Well, the Blanchards never were interested in actually putting that into place. They never would hire an accountant to do the audit or anything. But they had the gold and they would put the gold up on video where people could see the gold. You can see the gold. I don't know where it's at, but you could see it -- that they got gold, Hey, they got some gold up here, give me your money on the spot. You can trust me, I've got the gold.

So what happens is this blockchain technology is the same type of technology that's used with, like, cryptocurrency, FTX, you know, some of this stuff that's going on, Bitcoin, all this stuff, you know. It's rather confusing to somebody like me. And I think that the theory is that they send this chain out and people do these complicated math computation to verify that it's accurate or something. I don't know. But that's -- it's based on that technology.

So what happens is, is that they want to issue a cryptocurrency. So they have Michael write the cryptocurrency technology. So he writes it, and it is actually a lot less complicated than the other one that he wrote. So he writes this cryptocurrency technology, and they issue this, and it launches in November of 2018, and it's called HERC, which is like H-E-R-C, HERC. Okay?

So then let me pick up the story here. They're going to have a company conference call on November the 8th of 2018. And in preparation for the company conference call, Michael sends an e-mail to Cynthia Blanchard, which we should hear from, and a guy by the name of Paul Aubert or Aubert. It's A-U-B-E-R-T. And I may be mispronouncing it. He's a lawyer. He's the senior vice president of Anthem Vault, which is launching this cryptocurrency, and the general counsel, okay? And he's in Texas where Anthem claims that he lived. And I think this company was incorporated in Texas, this Anthem Vault company.

So what happens is Michael has heard from talking to people in the company that what's going on is that Anthem, which is Cynthia's husband that the company's named after, has been getting individuals, including people here in Bartlesville, okay, that were associated -- because Cynthia's from, I think, Dewey or something, and she grew up here. And so she's got family down here, and some of her family has worked for her.

But she's -- he's getting those people to get on the -- they call them wallets. It's, like, where they sell these cryptocurrency things. It's like a wallet. It's not like the stock market, but they get on these wallets and -- to buy this cryptocurrency that they're

issuing. Because they're issuing this cryptocurrency at 40 cents apiece to the public, they're selling for 20 cents apiece to people that they know, and they're trying to pump up the price. Okay?

So what they're doing, it's a form of -- kind of a variation of what you would call "pump and dump" with the stock market. It's a variation of a self-dealing, you know, type of thing. Okay? And -- but it's foolish in the sense that with the blockchain technology, people that really know what you're talking about or that really understand it, not somebody like me, but somebody that really understands what's going on, they will be able to tell something's not right about this because there's all these transactions that are coming from this slight few and it doesn't appear to be organic, I guess you could say. Okay?

So Michael catches wind of this, that this is going on. Okay? And, in fact, Anthem had asked him to do it, too. So Michael sends an e-mail to two people. He sends it to Cynthia, because Cynthia's, like, the president of the company, and he sends it to the general counsel, this Paul Aubert guy down in Texas. And he sends it to them in preparation for this meeting that they've got coming up, this -- I'm calling it a meeting. It's a -- he sends it to them in preparation for this conference call that they

got coming up on the 8th.

And he just lays it out to them -- let me just tell you this: She talked about the 96,000 pages that they got off of his phone. This will -- this will be an issue, so let me take a side step and explain this so you guys can pay attention to this.

They've got his phone when they arrested him, and they searched his phones. They got -- I think they took, like, 11 or 12 gig off his phone. He had an iPhone 8 and an iPhone 7 that had 128 gig apiece. He had almost 250 gigs worth of data. So there's a lot of stuff that they didn't get off the phones. Okay?

But in his report -- and I say that because of this: Because in this report that they have there -- and I anticipate the evidence will show that it's on page 53,846 -- there is a log of e-mails that he -- that he sent to Mr. Aubert and -- and to Cynthia. And the reason that I bring this up is because when you look at it on the log of the e-mails -- there's actually two e-mails, I'll get to the second one -- it puts the time zone as UTC, in some universal time zone code or something, I -- the computers -- instead of, like, eastern or central time or whatever like that.

well, that actually means that the e-mails that we have -- that Mr. Nelson still has, you know, like, on his

computer and stuff, that it may show a different date.

Okay? But I just want to highlight this to you guys and

I'll try to ask the questions to ferret out the

information so we can, you know, sort all this out.

But anyway, let me get back to it -- to the main point.

So in this e-mail to Paul -- and he sends it to Cynthia. Now, he doesn't send it to Anthem because he's hoping that they can kind of get Anthem onboard, you know, because the cryptocurrency thing, as some of you guys may know, if you do it right and you get a good one, they all made a lot of money, but just be honest about it, you know.

And that's what Mr. Nelson is wanting. He's wants to be honest about it. So he sends this e-mail to Cynthia, the husband of Anthem (sic), and to the general counsel, kind of, you know, let me get this guy in line and this whole thing will be good. And he also tells them that he wants -- he tells Paul, you know, I want a -- I want the phone call to be highly productive. Okay? And he's talking about the November the 8th phone call.

But in -- sometimes, as you will probably figure out that Mr. Nelson can be a little strong willed. Okay?

A little -- a little Michael Nelson sometimes goes a long way. Okay? He has to spread himself out a little bit. I

understand that myself.

But, anyway, he goes off in this e-mail and he starts saying, It should be clear as day to all employees not to trade this token on these exchanges. Besides, it looks like market manipulation, and it's not organic if we are pumping the token price is one of the things that he tells them. He's like, Look, we can't do this, and don't be doing it.

He goes on into this e-mail and he says -- and he's telling this to the general counsel of the company and to the president of the company, Cynthia Blanchard, who's also the wife of the CEO of the company. And he's telling them, Anthem's asking me to promote HERC to pump the price and get volume trading, is directing me to do something which I believe is illegal and manipulation. And he tells them, Hey, this is where I'm at. And it's a long e-mail, which, you know, hopefully we can get into throughout this case.

So that happens on the 8th. Now, I would submit to you that Mr. Nelson, he may not like the description, is a bit naive, you know, believing that all he had to do was point out that it was illegal and they would stop it, but --

So let's fast-forward to February the 24th of 2019. Okay? So that's about three months later, maybe three and

/

a half, I don't know. February 24th, 2019. Michael sends a test message to a board member of Anthem Vault. Now, Michael used to be on the board, but he wasn't on the board anymore, okay? And they all kind of started backing away from him when he — when he staked out his position. And he also, by the way, in the call on November the 8th, he said it all to everybody, hey, this is — no, can't do it, no.

So what happens is -- so Michael has a call. He sends a test message to a guy by the name of Mark Heatwole, which is like H-E-A-T-W-O-L-E. I may be mispronouncing it. I'm sure Ms. Blanchard can pronounce it properly.

So, anyway, he sends this -- he sends him a text message to him. And in this test message, Michael tells them -- you know, he says, Hey, Mark, I sent you a birthday text, I didn't hear back from you. I received an e-mail I'd like to discuss with you on the DL. And then he puts -- you know, for people like me -- down low, you know, privately -- concerning a three-million-dollar investment. This is Michael Nelson, which to --

You know, Mr. Heatwole responds back, Mike, I just landed in LAX, I'll call you when I've got to my car, okay? So this -- so what happens, Michael's talking on the phone to Mark Heatwole who's a board member, and at

the same time, he's texting with both Anthem and Cynthia about this three-million-dollar investment.

And the deal is, is that he has learned, okay, from another individual that there was a three-million dollar investment and that that money was making market, that they were using that money to pump up the token. Okay? Which got up to 54 cents and is now, like, 1/1000th of a penny. If you had a thousand of them, you'd have a little over a penny. Okay? But that's -- so that's what -- so that's what sets this whole thing up.

Now, he's working for the company at the time, okay? And so he's on the phone with Mark Heatwole, and Mark tells -- and Mark's, like, Well, what's the problem? You know, what's the problem? Yeah, you know, but what's the problem with that, you know? Well, at the same time he's texting Anthem Blanchard and Cynthia Blanchard, and he's asking where's the three million dollars? I know it's not making market because I have e-mails to Paul -- the general counsel, the one that he sent back in November -- in a phone convo with Paul he assured me the company would not make market.

Now, something I neglected to tell you the first time is that he followed up that e-mail with Paul with a telephone call, okay, before the big call, you know, and they -- there were other e-mails back and forth.

Anthem responds, who has a Texas phone number, Calm the F down or you're fired right now. Then he calls him an MF'er. And then he calls him a bigot. And he says, And I will make sure you have un -- all you have unearned is given back to the company. You better shape up right the F now.

I mean, he's not using the abbreviations, but I don't want to say that language.

And then, you know, he starts telling him that he'll make sure that he has to pay back all unearned money to the company. It's, you know, a financial threat. You know, Michael doesn't have the resources that the Blanchards do, you know.

And, you know, Michael responds, says, Hey, you know, that's a threat. After all these years -- he'd been with them, like, seven years -- and you think you can take from my family, you know. He's, like, it's personal. So Blanchard tells him, Hey, you know, according to the company records -- not that it's true -- but according to the company records and accounts, that they've been way overpaying him, and that you work so little the admin team thought you were on sabbatical, yada, yada. They put him on sabbatical when they found out he wouldn't go along with their little criminal scheme.

He goes on to tell them -- and then Michael says,

Well, federal court then. Michael's threatening them to report this to the federal authorities. We'll take this to federal court if you want -- you know, if you guys are going to do this, to which Anthem said -- you know, he responds and tells him, You're lucky if the company didn't take back -- in all caps which they say is, like, yelling in text messages -- hundreds of thousands of dollars. And he says, Loony bin for you. And he likes to make fun of Michael sometimes. And even made a comment about short bus, trying to call him like he's, like, you know, retarded on something.

Michael is undeterred, as is his personality to be undeterred, and he just asks, Where's the three million dollars? And that's when Anthem says, You're fired, and starts telling him to get a job and go ask his brother for a job, and all this.

So, now, that's how he got fired via text while he's talking to Mark. Then, next thing you know, the Blanchards go into this, like, discrediting Michael mode. Okay? And what they do is they start claiming that he was fired, not because he was asking about the three million, they claim he was fired because he threatened a board member's life on a phone conversation. And this Mark guy starts -- starts saying, Oh, well he threatened my life and that's why Anthem fired him. And this would later

put into a request for a restraining order by Cynthia
herself. Okay?

And as I have a tendency to do, I left out a key point. Okay?

At one point during the conversation, Anthem threatens Michael in another way, not just in money. And what he says to him is: Because if you try anything, these texts already prove you guilty of collusion. But he might have been excited when he typed it because he didn't hit -- instead of hitting the space he hit the V, so prove and you is covered by a V, instead of separated by a space. But anyway, prove you guilty. So, basically, what he's saying is, Bud, you better shut up, or you're going to find yourself charged, too.

Now, Michael had not sent that e-mail to Blanchard -- to Anthem. He sent it to Cynthia. Maybe we can figure out, you know, who's -- I don't know, I'd like to know whether Cynthia ever had that discussion with Anthem or not, you know. But anyway, so what happens -- and like I said, the logs in the -- on the phone that -- out of this 96,000 pages that they got, the logs of the phones, they do the UTC time. Okay? So it may show differently than, you know, what he has to say.

But anyway, Michael reforwards or resends, I guess, I don't know, however you call it, but he sends that

e-mail that he had previously sent to Cynthia and to the general counsel, he sends it back, but this time he just sends it back to the general counsel. And he changes the subject call, dot, dot, dot, remember this, you know. This is market manipulation, it's wrong/illegal, and you had agreed that it was wrong and illegal.

He sends it back to the general counsel, which is Michael saying, Hey, buddy, I got proof that I wasn't involved in this scheme. I warned you guys, told you guys not to be doing this scheme, not to be doing this stuff.

So -- and -- and he's got the e-mails. So he sends it to them saying, Hey, you can't be charging me, I got proof.

Okay?

They go on a campaign against Michael. Eventually, she requests a civil harassment restraining order in California. Okay? And she is -- and attached to the civil restraining order in California, she has a -- statements from Paul, from Mark Heatwole, okay, the guy that Michael was talking to when Anthem fired him. And that's what he says in his statement that Cynthia has attached to her request for a restraining order that she's adopted her belief in. And he says, Oh, during the conversation he got fired. But then in the restraining order, Cynthia claims that he actually got fired for threatening this guy, you know.

And also attached there are e-mails that Cynthia and Anthem and Paul Aubert, this lawyer down in Texas -- which the lawyer's a lot better at articulating it in a way that Michael looks a lot better than they are -- they start attaching letters and e-mails, and they started sending these out -- these e-mails -- attacking Michael.

And they start saying that, you know, he threatened our board of director, we documented this with the police, and they start saying that we filed police reports about him and they accuse him of hacking — into illegal hacking their computers, and they accuse him of other — making — making claims from nefarious activities being committed by the company. They didn't use the word "vile," and this might be the only thing that we will agree on in the case, is that what they're doing is vile. Okay? And he's making vile accusations, but they're true.

And -- but they claim it's nefarious activities, and they start sending these out, I mean, to literally just hundreds of people, all these people that are in the community that he's known for years. They've destroyed his reputation.

Well, a lot of these people have got money invested in this, too, and they start basically claiming that Michael is going to hurt the company, he's going to hurt our investment, he's going to -- you know, we're going to

lose money because of Michael, and he just gets attacked.

I mean. he's just pummeled.

And, eventually, what he does is he pulls down all of his social media, all of it. Doesn't want any trace because if he's -- any trace at all, then he'll go ahead and get attacked. Michael is talking with a guy that used to be there that he knew before that had tried to -- tried to warn him, you need to stay away from these people, these people are not who they pretend to be. And his name is Tom Wingert. And that's in -- will be in some of the stuff -- in some of the e-mails and stuff.

So, anyway, what happens is -- I can fully move this -- move this thing along -- is they get a -- and we'll be talking about these messages and stuff, but they get a e-mail from an individual by the name of Brett Dunaway, and he's from Mississippi. And Dunaway runs a bunch of businesses in Mississippi, and he's one of the Blanchards' associates and friends and he's invested in their company.

And what had happened, it's just like Michael had thought that he could trust the general counsel and the other board member, Tom Wingert, who Mike -- had tried to warn Michael before, he -- Tom Wingert reached out to this Dunaway and he tells him, Hey, the Blanchards are still into robbing. They're doing things they shouldn't be

doing. We need to get some lawyers together get some money together, and we need to go sue these people before they blow it all.

And I -- we don't know anything about the conversation, but we know that Dunaway sent that to Anthem because Cynthia attached it in her request for the restraining order. And Anthem sent that and turned to -- to the lawyer and to Cynthia. And in that, Dunaway tells him, he goes, they need to be stopped -- talking about Michael and talking about Wingert -- they need to be stopped. And he's concerned that if our company doesn't put a stop to it, that it's going to hurt the impact of the company in the future.

And that was on March the 28th of 2019. The next day -- now, Cynthia is supposedly living in California at this time. And I believe the evidence will be from her -- or the claim from her is that she established residency here in Washington County in May of 2020, but we'll just have to see what she says. But -- and this is a full year before, okay, March of 2019, she files a police report with Bartlesville.

Michael was living in Las Vegas and Rhode Island.

It had nothing to do with Bartlesville. The only

connection to Bartlesville is that she's from here and she

knows people and that some of the people that were in here

in Bartlesville were more involved with pumping up the cryptocurrency.

But anyway, she tries to get -- but one of the issues -- and I'm going to ask her -- is that, you know, when you file for a protective order or a restraining order in a lot of states, that they want you to file a police report saying that you filed a police report, you know. So that may or may not have been the police, but who knows. Nothing ever happened with that original.

So that was on the next day after she got that e-mail from Dunaway saying, Hey, they need to be stopped. So they go and continue to try to stop him. And then she filed for her protective order in California on April the 11th of 2019.

Michael just gets beat down, decimated. He pulls all his social media stuff up and he actually goes to Spain and had started the process sometime before to move to Spain. His brother lives in Spain. He's got a niece in Spain. And he's, you know, wanting to be there, you know, with his brother. Okay? And he's basically, like, forget all this craziness, all these crazy people, I'm going to Spain.

While he's in Spain, he's working in, like, building furniture and posts something on social media. Boom, he gets hit again. So he had to take it down. Lost

his job over it because of it. And he wants nothing to do with the Blanchards at all.

And then in 2020, as you guys all went through, COVID hit. And now here, you know, we're not as restrictive, but in Spain, they locked down. Okay? Stay in your apartment. You can't come out. They're fogging the streets, all this. He can't work. He works on the computer. He's not from Spain, you know. And he ends up -- he's, like, man, I -- he's gotta have some money, you know, to survive because he's not a Spanish citizen and he doesn't want to mooch off his family and he's burning through his assets. So he files a request for unemployment in Nevada because he worked for them for seven years, and he puts an unemployment claim in.

This starts off a whole new -- a whole new line of -- once they get to the unemployment claim, they try this claim again that she put in the restraining order. They try this claim again saying, Hey, look, he got fired for cause, we don't have to pay him unemployment for threatening the life of a board member. But the stuff that Cynthia attached to a restraining order in California came back to bite them and they lost. They were required to pay Michael the unemployment. He had a little card -- a little debit card and stuff. So he's able to get through there and survive.

But this just starts just another -- just pounding, after pounding, after pounding, and they're just going after him, just going after him, going after him. They even got a guy that was a former board member, okay, on there that was a financial guy that was raising money for their company that has since been suspended because he was raising it in a way that he wasn't supposed to be raising it. They even got him to sue Michael up in Kansas with the same lawyer that they got. And they were just pounding him and pounding him and pounding him.

Michael comes back to the United States when Spain unlocksdown. Spain, they're still kind of restricting. And then, in -- I can't even think of the date, but I'm sure we'll find out. And -- either 2021 or 2022, he's going to fly back out to Spain. And he's never been arrested in his life; had a security clearance.

He's standing in line at the Newark airport and these people come up and say, Hey, can I talk to you? He's got an arrest warrant on this case. They throw him in jail in the Newark jail. Not a nice place. And he sits in there for, like, a month. Then they drag him back here to Oklahoma. The first time he's ever been arrested. And this case bumps along and here we are today.

This case is about free speech. This case is about them trying to silence a whistle-blower. And the State of

1 Oklahoma has charged the wrong people. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Adams. 3 At this time, before the State calls its first 4 witness, I'm going to invoke the Rule of Sequestration. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, what that means is that if there's 6 anybody in the courtroom that is going to testify, they're 7 going to be directed to step out into the hallway until 8 after they testify. That keeps witnesses from testifying 9 after they've already heard someone else testify. 10 So, Mr. Adams, do you have anyone in the room that 11 you intend to call as a witness? 12 MR. ADAMS: No, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Gullett, do you? **13** 14 MS. GULLETT: No, Judge, I do not. THE COURT: If, during the course of the trial, 15 you do have a witness come in inadvertently or 16 unknowingly, please remind them that they need to stay in 17 the hallway. 18 19 Okay. The State may call its first witness. Thank you, Judge. 20 MS. GULLETT: 21 The State of Oklahoma calls Cynthia Blanchard. THE COURT: Ma'am, if you will raise your right 22 hand, please. 23 I will. 24 THE WITNESS:

Come over here.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Sorry. 2 THE COURT: That's all right. 3 THE WITNESS: I got it. 4 THE COURT: Swear to tell the truth, the whole 5 truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me God? 6 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 THE COURT: Ma'am, if you'll have a seat there. 8 Pull that microphone up in front of you close enough to 9 your mouth that you can speak directly into it. 10 Ms. Blanchard, I want to remind you that -- or to 11 tell you that this court reporter is trying to take down 12 everything that's said in the courtroom, so I need you to be aware that you need to speak clear -- clearly enough, **13** loudly enough. Sometimes people have a tendency to talk 14 15 over one another. Please listen to her question and then answer it, and when she's -- when you're finished, she 16 will ask her next question. So if I stop you during the **17** course of your testimony and remind you of that --18 19 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. THE COURT: -- don't be alarmed because I have 20 to do that on almost every witness, so --21 THE WITNESS: That's fine. I understand. 22 23 THE COURT: We just --THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. 24

THE COURT:

25

The court reporter would greatly

Q. Ms. Blanchard, can you please state your name and
BY MS. GULLETT:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
WHEREUPON, CYNTHIA BLANCHARD, having been duly sworn
MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge.
Ms. Gullett, you may inquire.
appreciate it if you'd keep that in mind.
EE

- spell your last name for the record.
- I'm Cynthia Blanchard, B-L-A-N-C-H-A-R-D.
- Thank you, ma'am.
- And, Ms. Blanchard, are you familiar with a Michael

Eric Nelson?

- Yes, I am.
- And how long have you known Mr. Nelson? 9T
- I believe we met him in 2007. ZT
- when you say "we," who are --.p
- -- YM .Α

52

ÞΖ

23

ZZ

6T

3T

ST

ÞΤ

ΣŢ

ZT

TT

OT

6

8

L

9

Ţ

- Sot pairnatar uoy --20 .ρ
- My husband and I.
- 22 And how do you know Mr. Nelson? In what capacity? ٠,٥
- He was an employee of ours later, but in the
- husband hired him maybe in 2008 as a consultant to do some beginning, he became an acquaintance, friend, and then my

- 1 | search engines optimization work for a company he used to
- 2 | work for.
- 3 Q. And where were you guys located at when you met
- 4 Mr. Nelson?
- 5 A. In Las Vegas.
- 6 Q. And is that where Mr. Nelson was?
- 7 A. Yeah. He lived there.
- 8 Q. Is Mr. Nelson currently employed with any companies
- 9 associated with you or your husband?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. How long was he employed with you or your husband's
- 12 | companies, or anyone associated --
- 13 A. He came to us in the -- 2013 and, apparently, a
- 14 company that he had folded or something of that nature. I
- 15 don't know. He talked to my husband, and he asked if he
- 16 | could work for our company. And Anthem, my husband, hired
- 17 him as a consultant. And he was in that role for two
- 18 | years, until he came to us in 2015, and asked to become an
- 19 | employee because he had hurt his wrist and he wanted to
- 20 get health insurance, and we said, okay. So he became an
- 21 employee in 2015.
- 22 Q. Okay. But he's been doing some sort of work since
- 23 | 2013 for your company?
- 24 A. Yes, until he was fired.
- 25 Q. And that brings me to my next question.

- 1 A. Sorry.
- 2 Q. You're fine.
- What was his last day? If you know, the exact day
- 4 or month --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 | Q. -- that he worked for you guys?
- 7 A. He was fired the evening of February 24th of 2019,
- 8 | and his official termination letter was sent in the
- 9 | morning of the 25th of February in 2019.
- 10 Q. Okay. Are you aware of the circumstances under
- 11 | which he was fired?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Were you involved in part of that discussion?
- 14 A. I witnessed part of that discussion. I mean, when
- 15 | they were texting -- my husband was texting with him when
- one of our board directors called him to inform my husband
- 17 that Mr. Nelson had threatened to shoot him because he
- 18 wasn't agreeing with some of the accusations that
- 19 Mr. Nelson was trying to accuse us of.
- 20 Q. All right. Which board member was that?
- 21 A. That was Mark Heatwole.
- 22 Q. And without going into a lengthy, drawn-out
- 23 explanation of what Mr. Nelson was accusing you guys of,
- 24 | can you kind of sum up --
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. -- what you believe Mr. Nelson's complaint was with
- 2 you and your husband and the company?
- 3 A. Mr. Nelson got it in his head that we were, quote,
- 4 | stealing from our company, and that we were doing all sort
- of nefarious, bad things, and he got agitated that weekend
- 6 and he just went on a rampage. And when he called one of
- 7 our board directors that evening of the 24th, to voice his
- 8 -- whatever he was thinking was happening, the board
- 9 director was absolutely, like, No, I don't believe a word
- 10 you're saying; that's not true, that's not true. And he
- 11 got so aggravated that he just got enraged, and that's
- 12 when he threatened to shoot Mark Heatwole.
- 13 Q. So you said that he was officially terminated on
- 14 | the 25th of February?
- 15 A. Yes. That was when our legal counsel sent his
- 16 letter.
- 17 Q. Okay. And silly question, but was the 25th of
- 18 | February of 2019, the last time you ever heard from
- 19 | Michael Eric Nelson?
- 20 A. No. No. No.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Have you chosen to keep in contact with --
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | O. -- Mr. Nelson?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You have to let me finish my question --

- 1 A. Sorry.
- 2 | Q. That's all right.
- 3 -- before you answer. Okay?
- All right. So you have not chosen to keep in contact with him?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So based on contact -- who has initiated contact
- 8 | between you and Mr. Nelson --
- 9 A. Mr. Nelson.
- 10 | Q. -- during that time?
- 11 A. Mr. Nelson.
- 12 Q. What type of contact has Mr. Nelson had with you
- 13 | since February 24th of 2019?
- 14 A. He immediately started to send hundreds of e-mails
- 15 | accusing us of, oh, my gosh, Ponzi scheme, stealing from
- 16 | the company, talking old women and men out of their money
- 17 | and traveling around the world and using their money, and
- 18 just -- you name it, he accused us of it. And it was
- 19 relentless. Relentless. I never responded.
- 20 Let me put it this way: By April 11th of 2019,
- 21 | there were 600 printed pages of e-mails and texts and
- 22 harassment that I literally even took down to the Santa
- 23 | Monica Police Department where we had a second home
- 24 because he had taken that address and blasted it
- 25 everywhere, and I was petrified. I was petrified that he

- 1 | would come physically and harm us because he was so
- 2 enraged.
- 3 | Q. So, Ms. Blanchard, did you -- again, silly
- 4 | questions, but I've got to ask them -- did you ever wish
- 5 to receive any kind of contact from Mr. Nelson like this?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. As far as the contact goes, was the contact only
- 8 | with you or was it --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. -- with anyone else?
- 11 A. I'm sorry, I did it again.
- 12 I'm -- no. Anybody we were associated with -- and
- 13 | that means family, friends, our employees, our advisors,
- 14 our board of directors, consultants -- he just would go
- 15 | after anybody that was associated with us. Horrendous,
- 16 | aggressive, threatening; it just didn't stop.
- 17 Q. All right. So, Ms. Blanchard, you know that we're
- 18 | right now in Washington County in Oklahoma?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. So when did you come to reside in
- 21 | Oklahoma?
- 22 A. When COVID hit, we had a second home that we rented
- 23 | in Santa Monica, and we got stuck there when lockdown
- 24 | happened. And at the end of April, my parents' 68th
- 25 wedding anniversary was happening, and my husband and I

didn't want to miss that -- and they live here in

Washington County, so we ended up putting our dogs in the

car and driving for three days. And when we got to

4 Oklahoma, it was a breath of fresh air.

We stayed and celebrated with them. And then we were trying to decide what to do, and that's when the Santa Monica riots happened. And that was it. We said, nope, we're not going back. We hired someone to pack up that home -- it was a rental, so our lease, fortunately, was at the end -- and we stayed here. And we lived with my parents while we started looking for a home. And it took about five months, but we finally found a home.

- Q. So when do you think that you guys officially moved in with your parents?
- 15 A. I would -- we made that decision at the end of 16 May --
- 17 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 18 A. -- of -- of 2020.
- 19 Q. 2020?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And then you said that it took you a while
- 22 to find a home to buy?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 | Q. When do you think that you guys purchased your
- 25 | home?

- 1 A. We closed on our home on November 10th. We made
- 2 | the offer, I think, in September.
- 3 Q. Same year?
- 4 A. Oh, yeah. I'm sorry, 2020.
- 5 Q. And is that home in Washington County?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. And have you -- since you bought the home in
- 8 November of 2020 and/or stayed with your parents and
- 9 decided to live with your parents in May of 2020, have you
- 10 moved from -- have you moved your residence from
- 11 | Washington County?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Are you still a resident of Washington County
- 14 today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Since you've moved to Washington County, have you
- 17 | had any contact with Mr. Nelson in any way?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. Okay. Has Mr. Nelson contacted you in any way?
- 20 A. Yes, he has.
- 21 | Q. All right. What types of contact has he had with
- 22 you since you became a resident of Washington County?
- 23 A. He sent aggressive e-mails, one to my personal
- 24 e-mail. I had blocked him when he started this activity
- 25 | right after he got fired, but he had another e-mail

address that he used, and the date of that was October

2 Oth of 2020. He sent a personal e-mail to my e-mail and

it included, I think, my husband's stepdad and just random

other people, basically aggressive, what -- he cussed in

it, what are you doing, dah, dah, dah. You know, just

that typical ranting that he did.

And it was shocking because I had blocked him, and all of a sudden, here comes another rant. I blocked the — it was an iCloud account. I blocked it. And then the next day, he sent an e-mail to a company address at the Anthem Vault address that I was included in, and there were — oh, my gosh, probably 50 or 60 people on that — same thing, ranting. They're a Ponzi scheme. They are criminals. They have — you know, just everything — I mean, it just didn't stop. And then we believe he uses aliases. And when he uses aliases, that ranting gets even more aggressive and threatening.

- Q. So as far as the e-mails to the, like, 50 or 60 people, you said --
- 20 A. Yeah.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18

- 21 Q. -- do you recall what day -- well, I understand 22 there's probably a lot.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 | Q. Do you remember a date of one of those e-mails?
- 25 A. Yes. The one, like I said, to my personal e-mail

- 1 | was October 20th of 2020. The one to the business e-mail
- 2 was the 21st of October, 2020, and then there was another
- 3 one on the 23rd, and then I was taken out of the e-mail
- 4 chain. There were just a few more that went back and
- 5 | forth that were sent to us from some of the people,
- 6 | including my husband's stepmother, of course, my husband's
- 7 | stepfather again. Oh, my gosh, there were employees' --
- $8 \mid Q$. Okay.
- 9 A. -- e-mail, and so --
- 10 Q. So, Ms. Blanchard, you've testified about this
- 11 | situation before?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. Is that a "yes"?
- 14 A. Yes. Sorry.
- 15 Q. And that was at the preliminary hearing?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. During the preliminary hearing, were you
- 18 asked about some e-mails that went around and that you
- 19 received?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. At that time, did you give a specific e-mail
- 22 address that you would have received e-mails at?
- 23 A. I did.
- 24 Q. What e-mail address did you -- do you recall giving
- 25 | at --

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 | Q. -- that preliminary hearing?
- 3 | A. I gave an e-mail -- we have subsidiaries in the
- 4 | company, and Anthem Gold was one of the subsidiaries,
- 5 Anthem Vault is a subsidiary. And I think I said that
- 6 those e-mails were sent to Anthem Gold, but they were
- 7 actually sent to my Anthem Vault e-mail.
- 8 | Q. Is there -- why do you think that you said Anthem
- 9 | Gold instead of Anthem Vault at the preliminary?
- 10 A. Because there was just so much activity that was
- 11 going on that I -- I thought it was -- I -- you know, I
- 12 didn't remember it was Anthem Vault.
- 13 | Q. Did you in any way want to mislead the Court --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. -- at that time?
- 16 A. No. No. No. I was surprised I had not said
- 17 | the right thing, but I didn't.
- 18 Q. Roughly, how many e-mail addresses do you have?
- 19 A. My personal, and then there are the four business
- 20 | e-mails.
- 21 Q. So is that a lot to keep track of?
- 22 A. Let me -- let me count that. Just a second. Yeah,
- 23 | four. Four.
- 24 | Q. So including your personal e-mail, that's five
- 25 | e-mails you have?

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 | Q. So is that a lot to keep track of?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay.
- 5 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you what I've
- 8 | marked as State's Exhibit Number 1. Take a look at that.
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recognize that document I just handed
- 11 | you?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. Okay. And does that look like a true and correct
- 14 document that you've seen before?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what that document is?
- 17 A. It is an e-mail from Michael Nelson that's sent to
- 18 | -- there's my husband's stepmother, there's advisors,
- 19 stepfather, my husband, board of directors, employees,
- 20 random editors at different -- at different publications,
- 21 | apparently, more board directors --
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. -- and shareholders.
- 24 Q. What's the date on that e-mail?
- 25 A. October 21st of 2020.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what's the time?
- $2 \mid A$. The time was 2:32 a.m.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, I'd move to admit 5 State's Exhibit 1 through this witness.
- 6 MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 1 shall be admitted.
- 8 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you what's
- 9 | marked as State's Exhibit 2. Same thing; do you recognize
- 10 | that document?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 0. And does that look like a true and accurate
- 13 representation of a document you're familiar with?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. All right. What is that document?
- 16 A. Another e-mail from Michael Nelson that,
- 17 | apparently, was part of an e-mail chain that was created.
- 18 Q. Okay. Same or similar situation?
- 19 A. Yeah. Same people.
- 20 Q. All right. And what's the date on that e-mail?
- 21 | A. That is October 23rd of 2020 at 4:55 a.m.
- 22 Q. All right. Thank you.
- MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, the State would move
- 24 | to admit State's Exhibit 2.
- MR. ADAMS: No objection.

THE COURT: State's Exhibit Number 2 shall be admitted.

- 3 MS. GULLETT: Thank you.
- Q. (By Ms. Gullett) When you saw these e-mails with all the different people listed on them --
- 6 A. Uh-huh.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Α.

- 7 Q. -- as well as the body of the e-mails, what -- how 8 did you feel?
 - home here, we had just bought a home here, and all of a sudden, he's enraged and he's going after us again. And I was petrified. To be honest with you, I was petrified that if he found out what our new home address was, which would have been public record once that sale went through, I -- I was scared to death because I knew what would

I was scared. We had come here, we had made our

- happen. And with the help of this community, they helped us change the way it was purchased so that address was not public. And if he knows where it is, that scares me even more. And he might, because he just is relentless. He
- 20 digs and digs and digs.
- 21 Q. After these e-mails were sent in October of 2020, 22 did you receive any other contact from Mr. Nelson?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. Okay. What type of contact did you receive?
- 25 A. He left two long-winded, over four-minute-long

- 1 | voicemails on my phone, January 30th of 2021. It was from
- 2 | an unknown number. I had blocked him years ago, but
- 3 | somehow he got around it.
- 4 | Q. How did you know it was Mr. Nelson?
- 5 A. I -- when I started to listen to one of them -- his
- 6 voice is very unique and very easy to recognize, and it
- 7 just sent shivers through me.
- 8 Q. And you said that you have blocked his phone
- 9 | number?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Have you ever at any time unblocked his phone
- 12 | number?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Were these voicemails welcomed by you?
- 15 A. No. No.
- 16 | Q. And when you received these voicemails on
- 17 | January 30th, you said?
- 18 A. of 2021.
- 19 | Q. Where were you when you --
- 20 A. I was in Bartlesville. I was at home.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And did you immediately listen to them?
- 22 A. I listened to about two seconds -- well, probably
- 23 ten seconds, and it was threat, threat. And I
- 24 | couldn't do it. I couldn't do it. And I didn't erase
- 25 them, I just left them because we had heard so -- there

were hundreds of these voicemails that he's left over a

2 | period of years, two hundreds -- I can't say a specific

3 | number -- but many, many, many people that are associated

4 | with us, family, employees, again, same -- same story,

5 | same threats, same aggression, same lies about my husband

6 and I and people that are associated with our company.

He has no proof. There is no evidence. He has no evidence because there is none. I'm going to go ahead and

9 | say this. He's never seen the financials of our company.

10 He doesn't know our personal financial situation. We've

11 | put millions of dollars into our company. We paid his

12 | salary out of our own pocket many times when we needed to

because that's what you do when you're responsible to keep

14 | a company healthy.

15 Q. So, Ms. Blanchard, I know this is a lot --

16 A. Yes.

7

8

13

17 Q. -- I know there's a lot going on. I need you to

18 | focus on the questions --

19 A. You bet.

20 Q. -- I'm asking. Okay?

21 A. Okay. I'm sorry.

22 Q. You're fine. I know it is --

23 A. It's just too much.

24 \mid Q. There's a lot going on and there's a lot of

25 | questions I've got to ask you, so --

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 | Q. -- we've got to get through some of these
- 3 questions.
- 4 A. You bet. I'm sorry.
- 5 Q. You're all right.
- 6 So -- thank you.
- 7 So we were talking about the voicemails that you
- 8 | had.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So you saw them on your phone. You know the date
- 11 because you saw them and you said you didn't erase them.
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 | Q. Okay. At any time, did you do anything with those
- 14 voicemails? Did you give them to anyone or do anything
- 15 | like that?
- 16 A. No, not until you called in December of '21.
- 17 Q. Okay. So did you ever provide me with a copy of
- 18 | those voicemails?
- 19 | A. I did.
- 20 Q. All right. And have you and I listened to those
- 21 | voicemails together?
- 22 A. We have.
- 23 Q. Okay. So I'm going to approach with State's
- 24 | Exhibit 3. I'm going to hand you this. Do you recognize
- 25 | this?

- 1 A. I do.
- 2 | Q. Okay. What is that that I've handed you?
- 3 A. This is a copy of the voicemails that I sent to
- 4 you.
- 5 Q. Okay. And do you see, like, some writing on that
- 6 disc?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What is that writing?
- 9 A. My initials and the date.
- 10 Q. Okay. What date is on there?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. 2-6-23.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so was that yesterday?
- 13 A. Yes, it was.
- 14 Q. All right. So what did you do yesterday? Did you
- 15 | come up to my office?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And did you have a chance to view --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- these voicemails again?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. All right. So is this a true and accurate
- 22 depiction of the voicemails that were on your phone?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
- 25 State's Exhibit 3 and then publish for the jury.

51 1 MR. ADAMS: No objection. 2 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 3 shall be admitted 3 and you may publish. MS. GULLETT: Thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: The court reporter's not taking down what's on the exhibit. 6 7 MS. GULLETT: Oh, that's fine. 8 THE COURT: Just so you know. 9 (State's Exhibit Number 3 published.) 10 (By Ms. Gullett) All right. There was a lot on Q. 11 that voicemail, so I want to ask you some questions about 12 it. 13 The first half of that voicemail, because, 14 obviously, there were two, got cut off. And did he call **15** vou back? 16 I -- yeah. There were two voicemails. **17** Okay. So the very first one talks of a blood feud 0. 18 between your family line and his family line. Do you have 19 any idea what that has to do with? 20 No idea. It just scared me. Α. 21 Are you aware of Mr. Nelson having any children? Q. I don't think he has any children. 22 Α. 23 Okay. Did that strike you as odd that he would say 0.

He had said things about his children in other

something about his children in that voicemail?

24

- 1 | e-mails to other people, and we didn't know where he was
- 2 or what he was doing or -- we didn't know. But,
- 3 | apparently, he doesn't have children.
- 4 Q. There's also a mention of your husband, Anthem,
- 5 being in the United Arab Emirates?
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. And then he mentioned something about a prince of
- 8 | the U.A.E.
- 9 A. I have no idea what he's talking about with the
- 10 prince thing. My husband was in Dubai when that voicemail
- 11 | was left on my voicemail. He was -- we got -- he got a
- 12 | client for our business during that trip. He had -- that
- 13 was his second trip to Dubai. The first time, he'd gone
- 14 to speak at a conference. So he did not -- he wasn't in
- 15 | town, but I was.
- 16 | Q. So when you hear things like a prince of Dubai and
- 17 | a prince of the U.A.E. was my bunkmate, what do you take
- 18 | that as?
- 19 A. I don't -- again, this was after years of
- 20 | Mr. Nelson leaving these sort of long-winded voicemails or
- 21 | these long e-mails that just rattled on about -- I
- 22 | shouldn't use that word -- things that made no sense --
- 23 things that made no sense. So I assumed that was another
- 24 one of those that made no sense. These threats and --
- 25 Q. So would you associate Dubai and the United Arab

- 1 | Emirates as being a wealthy country?
- 2 A. Yes, I would.
- 3 | Q. So would a prince of a place of the U.A.E., would
- 4 | that be probably a wealthy person?
- 5 A. Probably, yes.
- 6 | Q. So to have someone tell you that they have someone
- 7 | who's a -- been a bunkmate with them with a wealthy
- 8 | person, with means like the U.A.E., was that a concern to
- 9 you?
- 10 A. Yeah, I was petrified. I was petrified. But for
- 11 | the record, that was on the 30th of January of 2021. On
- 12 the 14th of February, I flew to Dubai for the very first
- 13 | time to meet my husband because he had gone back there. I
- 14 | flew over and back three more times. There was no -- but
- 15 | every time I went, I was afraid. Had -- was there
- 16 | something that had happened that -- that I was going to --
- 17 I mean, it was horrible. There was, again, no evidence of
- 18 anything, but it was just these idle threats to harass and
- 19 scare us.
- 20 Q. So I'm going to shift from the voicemails to some
- 21 more things that have happened in Washington County.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. So you guys have moved here. You live here. Do
- 24 | you have work here --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- in Washington --
- Okay. What business do you have here?
- 3 A. The business is Her Software Development Company.
- 4 | Q. And where is that located?
- 5 A. It is located at the old courthouse on Frank
- 6 | Phillips. That is a building that the company purchased
- 7 | in July of 2021, to put the headquarters of the company
- 8 | there.
- 9 Q. Okay. And so I am horrible with dates, as you and
- 10 | I have talked about many times, so we're going to make
- 11 | this timeline in our brains.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 | Q. So the voicemails are in January of 2021?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then July of 2021, HeraSoft buys a building.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. When was HeraSoft formed?
- 18 A. HeraSoft was formed in January of 2020, as a
- 19 subsidiary.
- 20 Q. Okay. All right. July 2021, building.
- Okay. At that time, had you had any more contact
- 22 in July of 2021, with Mr. Nelson, like a voicemail or
- 23 | things like that, that really stand out?
- 24 A. I didn't personally.
- 25 Q. All right. So then we get to July and then, let's

- 1 | say, into August of 2021, have you had any contact with
- 2 | Mr. Nelson at that time that stands out to you in August
- 3 of 2021?
- 4 A. That he contacted me?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Okay. So what happens in August of 2021?
- 8 A. On August 26th, I got a call from one of our
- 9 employees at the courthouse, and they said a certified
- 10 letter had come for you. I'm like, okay, I'll come down.
- 11 | I had no idea what it was. He had signed for it. And
- 12 when I opened it, it was from Mr. Nelson. Again, just
- 13 | threats saying things like I was trying -- trying to kill
- 14 his parents, that I was mentally ill. There's something
- 15 about Chad Koehn in there because the letter went to Chad
- 16 | Koehn also, the same letter, but it had it addressed to
- 17 both of us.
- 18 I had -- it just, again, just -- but the scary part
- 19 about that is that it came to the courthouse, and I didn't
- 20 know how he knew about the courthouse. I did not know
- 21 | that our marketing director at the time had put the
- 22 picture of the courthouse and its address on our website
- 23 | earlier in the month.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. When that -- oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

- 1 | Q. So talking about the website for HeraSoft.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 | Q. What -- other than the picture of the courthouse
- 4 and the address of the courthouse and HeraSoft, the
- 5 name --
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. -- what else was on that website that would link it
- 8 to you? How would someone know if they looked at that
- 9 | website that HeraSoft was associated with you?
- 10 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. I understand.
- 11 | Q. Yeah.
- 12 A. Well, yeah, at the time, my picture was on it, my
- 13 husband's picture was on it, telling them the positions
- 14 | that we held with the company.
- 15 Q. And what was your position?
- 16 A. At that time, I was the president of the company.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 MS. GULLETT: I'm going to show her State's
- 19 | Exhibit 4, the envelope and the letter is inside, 4-A.
- 20 Your Honor, if I may approach?
- THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) All right. I'm going to hand you
- 23 | State's Exhibit 4, with 4-A inside.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. So first we're going to talk about 4.

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. Do you recognize what I've handed you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. What is it that I've handed you?
- 5 A. A certified letter.
- 6 Q. Okay. And is that a true and accurate reflection
- 7 of a certified letter that you're familiar with?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. So what certified letter is this?
- 10 A. This is the letter I was just referring to from
- 11 | Michael Nelson.
- 12 Q. okay.
- 13 A. So it -- what I'm trying -- I don't know what
- 14 | you're trying to get.
- 15 Q. No, you're fine.
- 16 What address -- well, what name and address is
- 17 | listed on there?
- 18 A. Okay. Okay. I understand.
- 19 Cynthia D. Blanchard, president, Hera Software
- 20 Development, Inc., 501 Southeast Frank Phillips Boulevard
- 21 | -- Boulevard, Suite 102, Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74003.
- 22 Q. What is the return address?
- 23 A. Return mail processing center, 8551 East Anderson
- 24 | Drive, Number 108, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255.
- 25 Q. Okay. So that does not say Mr. Nelson on that

- 1 | outside part?
- 2 A. It does not.
- $3 \mid Q$. Okay.
- MS. GULLETT: So, Your Honor, State would move to admit State's Exhibit 4, which is the envelope, with the caveat we're going to through State's Exhibit 4-A as
- 7 | well, so --
- 8 MR. ADAMS: We have no objection.
- 9 MS. GULLETT: All right.
- 10 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 4, which is the
- 11 | outside envelope --
- MS. GULLETT: Yes, ma'am.
- 13 THE COURT: -- shall be admitted.
- 14 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) So I'll have you open the
- 15 envelope.
- 16 | All right. Now you're looking at the contents of
- 17 what was in that envelope. Do you recognize that?
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 | Q. Is that a "yes"?
- 20 A. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes.
- 21 | Q. That's all right.
- 22 So this is marked as State's Exhibit 4-A. So you
- 23 | recognize this document?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that a true and accurate depiction of a document

- 1 | you've seen before?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. What is this document?
- 4 A. This document is the certified letter that was sent
- 5 to me at the courthouse and it also was addressed to
- 6 Mr. Chad Koehn.
- 7 Q. Okay. So this document was inside that envelope
- 8 | when that envelope was sealed?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right.
- MS. GULLETT: So, Your Honor, the State would
- 12 | move to admit State's Exhibit 4-A.
- MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 4-A, the letter
- 15 | itself, shall be admitted.
- 16 MS. GULLETT: Thank you.
- 17 | THE COURT: And, Ms. Gullett, I'm not sure the
- 18 | jurors back behind you can hear you, so you might speak up
- 19 | a little bit louder.
- 20 MS. GULLETT: Sorry about that.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) Okay. So I'm going to take this
- 22 | from you, but we're going to talk about the letter.
- 23 All right. So, Ms. Blanchard, that letter you said
- 24 was addressed to yourself and Mr. Koehn?
- 25 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

- $1 \mid Q$. What -- what types of things are in that letter?
- 2 A. Just like I said before, the death threats have to
- 3 | stop, you're mentally ill, you've threatened my parents,
- 4 he goes on and on about other people. And then he talks
- 5 | about Mr. Chad Koehn and how I have threatened his family
- 6 again.
- 7 Q. Just all sorts of stuff?
- 8 A. Just the same --
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 A. -- constant stuff.
- 11 Q. So we're going to ask that question.
- 12 Have you, at any time, threatened Mr. Nelson's
- 13 | family?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Have you, at any time, threatened Mr. Nelson --
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. -- in any way?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. All right. As far as HeraSoft goes here in the --
- 20 in Bartlesville, was -- did HeraSoft generate any kind of
- 21 | publicity or anything of that nature?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. All right. What kind of publicity was HeraSoft
- 24 | getting?
- 25 A. Specifically, there were two different articles in

- 1 | the Bartlesville Examiner Enterprise. The first one was
- 2 | in August, and there's a -- there's a program in
- 3 | Bartlesville, I think it's called the Bartlesville
- 4 Development Program, where they -- if you're accepted --
- 5 and we were invited into this program -- it encourages
- 6 | businesses to bring employees to Bartlesville. And if
- 7 | they do that, the City will provide \$10,000 to that
- 8 | employee to buy a house or \$20,000 if they build a home.
- 9 And so it was an incentive program to help businesses
- 10 attract employees to come and live in Bartlesville.
- 11 Q. So were -- were you familiar with that article?
- 12 A. I was.
- 13 Q. Did you read the article?
- 14 A. I did.
- 15 Q. Did you see the article when it was published?
- 16 A. I did. It wasn't just about HeraSoft, it was about
- 17 | the program, and there was, I think, one other company
- 18 | that was talked about in it. But HeraSoft was definitely
- 19 | talked about in that article.
- 20 Q. Okay. And so you're familiar with it?
- 21 A. I am.
- 22 Q. All right.
- 23 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, if I may approach?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I hand you what's marked as

- 1 | State's Exhibit 5.
- 2 (Cross-talk.)
- 3 | A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) So what have I handed you?
- 5 A. The article from the *Examiner Enterprise* that I was
- 6 just talking about.
- 7 Q. Okay. And does that look like a true and accurate
- 8 depiction of the article that you saw in the Examiner
- 9 | Enterprise?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you tell me the date of that article?
- 12 A. The date is August 26th, 2021, at six o'clock a.m.
- 13 Q. And who was it written by?
- 14 A. Daisey Creager --
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. -- of the Bartlesville Examiner Enterprise.
- MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, the State would move
- 18 to admit State's Exhibit 5.
- MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- THE COURT: State's Exhibit 5 shall be admitted.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) And in that article, it talks
- 22 about HeraSoft.
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. And is there a mention of you or Anthem in that
- 25 | article?

- 1 A. Personally, I don't think so. I think it's -- I
- 2 | think it's just HeraSoft.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I'd to have look at it again. I'm sorry.
- 5 Q. That's okay.
- 6 A. The second one definitely was about HeraSoft, and
- 7 | it mentioned us, but that was in September.
- 8 | Q. Okay. Are you familiar with any other articles or
- 9 | publications that happened about HeraSoft from anyone
- 10 other than the Examiner Enterprise as we talked about
- 11 | them?
- 12 A. I think it was on the radio website.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 | Q. Are you familiar with that happening, the radio
- 16 having --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- an article?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's marked as
- 21 | State's Exhibit 6. If you'll take a look at that.
- 22 Do you recognize that?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what is that that I've handed you?
- 25 A. It is the Bartlesville Radio website. They have --

- 1 | what do you call it? You know, they have the news and
- 2 | they have different weather, you know, local news, and
- 3 | this was from there.
- 4 Q. So an article by the Bartlesville Radio?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. And do you know what day that was from?
- 7 A. This says it was posted August 25th at 8:49 a.m.,
- 8 and updated August 25th, 2021 -- sorry, it was 2021 -- at
- 9 9:16 a.m. Did I say a.m. or p.m. earlier?
- 10 Q. I don't recall.
- 11 A. It's 8:40 -- I'm sorry. It was 8:49 a.m. and
- 12 updated at 9:16 a.m., on the on 20 -- on August 25th,
- 13 2021.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 A. Sorry about that
- MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, the State would move
- 17 | to admit State's number 6.
- 18 MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- THE COURT: State's Exhibit 6 shall be admitted.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) Now this --
- 21 THE COURT: Hang on.
- 22 MS. GULLETT: Sorry.
- 23 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) Now, this article from the Radio,
- 24 does it, as far as you're aware, mention you and Anthem by
- 25 name?

- 1 A. Not specifically, no.
- 2 Q. What does it show on there?
- 3 A. Again, that HeraSoft was accepted into this program
- 4 and what the program is and how it incentivizes companies
- 5 to bring employees into Bartlesville and help them to want
- 6 | to stay in Bartlesville.
- 7 Q. All right. So these articles came out around the
- 8 | 25th, 26th --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 | Q. -- of August 2021?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. After that time, did you receive any communication
- 13 | -- did you receive any concerning communication about
- 14 Mr. Nelson reaching out to anyone?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Who did you hear from?
- 17 A. I actually heard from one of our employees at the
- 18 | time, and he had received an e-mail from, I believe, David
- 19 | wood, who runs this program, because somebody had e-mailed
- 20 the city manager and, I believe, his assistant, that
- 21 | somebody was Michael Nelson, and warning them about the
- 22 Blanchards and how we were a Ponzi scheme and how we were
- 23 | criminals and we had come to Bartlesville to steal
- 24 | everything from everybody, from what I remember. He
- 25 didn't say it like that, but that was the insinuation.

- Q. Okay. So you were aware that there had been an --
- 2 | an e-mail to the -- to the city manager?
- A. I was aware, but I did not know until Monday

 morning about that because the employee that received that

 e-mail was in a different office. If it's okay -- tell me

 if I shouldn't go on and explain this part. But when I

 got the certified letter on the 26th, it scared me
- 8 terribly. I went directly to the police department to file a report.
- 10 | Q. okay.

A. The police department encouraged me to go to Ray of Hope to get a protective order. I went to Ray of Hope. I filled out the paperwork. But in talking and finding out more about how it works, I didn't go ahead and complete that completely until Monday morning when I found out that Mr. Nelson had e-mailed the city manager. And that petrified me because I thought, oh, no, his reign of terror was going to come into this community.

I was horrified, and I was also scared for everyone that's in Bartlesville who is close to us. And that includes my family, my 91-year-old mother and 94-year-old father, my sister, my nieces, my nephews, the tenants in our building, our employees. It scared me, so that's when I went and I said I -- we need a protective order.

Q. Okay. So, Ms. Blanchard -- and you've kind of

- 1 | answered this question -- but these contacts from Mr.
- 2 | Nelson from the time that Mr. Nelson was fired with the
- 3 | incident of him threatening a board member that you're
- 4 aware of --
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 | Q. -- to the time that he sends you a certified letter
- 7 | and there's contact with the city manager of
- 8 | Bartlesville --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 0. -- how has this entire time made you feel?
- 11 A. Terrified. Walking around never knowing when his
- 12 | rage is going to happen and he's going to come out and
- 13 | accuse us -- it's all lies. He doesn't have any evidence
- 14 of any of the things that he's accused us of, anything,
- 15 | because he doesn't have access to any of our finance -- he
- 16 doesn't. Unless he's -- unless he's hacked and gotten in
- 17 | there illegally. Terrified.
- 18 He has contacted shareholders who -- he shouldn't
- 19 have shareholder information. How did he get that? It's
- 20 | not public. It's not public. So he stops at nothing, and
- 21 | then he doubles down, which is even more frightening
- 22 | because he has erratic behavior, which makes him
- 23 unpredictable, and that makes him very dangerous, and I'm
- 24 | scared of him.
- 25 | Q. Thank you, Ms. Blanchard.

1 MS. GULLETT: I have no further questions, 2 I pass the witness. Judge. 3 THE COURT: We're going to take a break for the 4 court reporter's sake, and probably the jurors would like 5 a break as well. It is just a couple of minutes after 11. 6 We're going to take a 15-minute break. So if you will be 7 back in the jury room in 15 minutes, the bailiff will bring you in when it's time for you to come back in. 8 9 So, thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We'll be in 10 recess. 11 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken. 12 Thereafter, proceedings resumed as follows:) 13 THE COURT: Okay. We are now back on the record 14 in the State of Oklahoma versus Michael Eric Nelson. 15 Mr. Adams, are you ready to proceed? 16 MR. ADAMS: Yes, Judge. 17 THE COURT: And, Ms. Gullett, are you ready? 18 MS. GULLETT: Yes, Judge. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Madam Bailiff, would you please 20 direct the jurors to their place in the jury box? 21 would both of the attorneys take a look around and 22 make sure you are -- don't have any of witnesses in the 23 There's one extra person that I don't courtroom. 24 recognize.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

- THE COURT: Ms. Blanchard, I would remind you that you are under oath.
- And, Mr. Adams, you may cross-examine the witness.

<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>

5 BY MR. ADAMS:

- 6 Q. Now, Ms. Blanchard, on April the 11th of 2019, you
- 7 | filed a request for a civil harassment restraining order
- 8 | in California; is that true?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you filed that request and requested the Court
- 11 out there to give you a civil harassment restraining order
- 12 | against Mr. Nelson?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And did you file that in person?
- 15 | A. I did.
- 16 Q. And you listed an address there of Wilshire
- 17 | Boulevard in Santa Monica?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you told this jury that was your second home.
- 20 A. No. That's a mailbox number.
- 21 | Q. So that's not a home, that's just a mailbox?
- 22 A. That is a mailbox number, but we did have a home in
- 23 | Santa Monica.
- 24 | Q. Now, you also filed a police report the preceding
- 25 month, in March of 2019, against Mr. Nelson here in the

- 1 | City of Bartlesville?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And the address you listed on that, that was
- 4 | a mailbox number, too, wasn't it?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- 6 | Q. Didn't you have a mailbox number here in
- 7 | Bartlesville?
- 8 A. I do not recall.
- 9 Q. Didn't you have a mailbox number in the Cayman
- 10 | Islands?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Now, your husband, on his Facebook page --
- 13 Do you guys live together?
- 14 A. We do.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And you've told us that Bartlesville is your
- 16 | home?
- 17 A. It is.
- 18 Q. Doesn't your husband on his Facebook page say that
- 19 he lives in Texas?
- 20 A. You'll have to ask my husband. I don't go to his
- 21 | Facebook page.
- 22 | Q. Okay. Did you get -- you told us that you
- 23 established residency here in May of 2020?
- 24 A. We stayed here. We were living with my parents
- 25 until we found a home. Yes, that's what I said.

- 1 Q. But in September, almost October, September the
- 2 | 28th of 2020, you got sued in Texas?
- 3 A. I didn't get sued anywhere, sir.
- 4 Q. Didn't your husband --
- 5 You and your husband live together, though, right?
- 6 A. We live together.
- 7 Q. Yeah. Your husband got sued in Texas, and it
- 8 | alleged in the lawsuit that he resided in Texas?
- 9 A. You will have to ask my husband that question.
- 10 Q. Ma'am, Anthem Vault, Incorporated, are you the
- 11 president of that organization?
- 12 A. No, I am not.
- 13 Q. Are you the CEO?
- 14 A. I am not.
- 15 Q. You have nothing to do with Anthem Vault,
- 16 | Incorporated?
- 17 A. Not at this point. I'm an employee.
- 18 Q. Okay. You're an employee?
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. But it's -- your husband is the CEO?
- 21 | A. Yes, he is.
- 22 Q. Do you have anything to do with them at that point?
- 23 A. You mean, as a married couple or are you referring
- 24 | to the company?
- 25 Q. As far as the company.

72 1 Yes. 2 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. 3 It doesn't make sense. THE WITNESS: 4 THE COURT: Just a moment, please. 5 MS. GULLETT: I would ask him to lay a foundation to when. There's been a lot of dates, so when 6 7 are we talking about? 8 THE COURT: So I'm going to sustain your 9 objection. And if you'll just give us a time frame of within 10 11 which you're talking about. 12 MR. ADAMS: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Okay 14 (By Mr. Adams) At one point, you were listed as the Q. 15 CEO of Anthem Vault? 16 No. Α. 17 Have you ever had any relationship with Anthem Q. 18 Vault? 19 Α. Yes. Okay. And what is that? 20 Q. 21 I was the president of Anthem Vault. Α. 22 When? Q. Anthem Vault was incorporated in 2011. 23 Anthem

website in, I believe, December of 2012, and I remained in

Vault was launched, I believe, as a live company with a

24

- 1 | that position. And then we created a holding company and
- 2 | then I was the president of the holding company at that
- 3 | time. I have not been in that position.
- 4 O. At what time?
- 5 A. You know what, I don't recall. I can't give you a
- 6 | specific. I'm not going to answer that if I don't know
- 7 | specifically.
- 8 | Q. So you're refusing to answer?
- 9 A. No, I'm not refusing to answer. I'm saying that I
- 10 | don't know the specific date, because that will come back
- 11 | if I don't answer correctly, so I understand I shouldn't
- 12 | speculate.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. I know around about, but I'm not going to --
- 15 Q. But you know what I'm talking about with this
- 16 | lawsuit, right?
- 17 A. You'll have to ask my husband.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. It has nothing to do with me.
- 20 Q. Doesn't it -- isn't it in that exhibit that just
- 21 got introduced where Mr. Nelson is explaining to the
- 22 people of the City of Bartlesville that the people that
- 23 | they just agreed to give economic incentive money to just
- 24 got sued for over a hundred thousand dollars by American
- 25 | Express in Texas?

MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor, facts not in evidence.

MR. ADAMS: It is in evidence.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

Your objection?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GULLETT: The e-mail from the City of Bartlesville has not actually been introduced yet. That is not one of the e-mails that has been intro -- or to the City of Bartlesville has not been introduced yet. That would be through two other witnesses who have not come in.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, I'm going to sustain the objection.

- Q. (By Mr. Adams) In the e-mail to the Bartlesville Development Agency, doesn't he discuss -- doesn't he discuss this, this American Express lawsuit?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- 17 | O. You don't recall?
- 18 | A. I don't.
 - Q. And so you're telling are you telling us that you know nothing about your husband that you live with and the company that you used to be involved with getting sued for over a hundred thousand dollars in Texas around-about the time that you persuaded Bartlesville to give you guys some economic incentive money?
 - A. This had to do with my husband. I am familiar with

- 1 | it, but I am not familiar with it enough to answer your
- 2 | questions. So I don't want to say anything that I don't
- 3 | have a knowledge base on.
- 4 | Q. Okay. But you -- you will admit that you have
- 5 | knowledge of it?
- 6 A. I did have knowledge of it, but it wasn't to me, it
- 7 | wasn't about me, and it was something I think was settled.
- 8 But you can ask my husband.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Please feel free to do that.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 | A. Okay?
- 13 Q. Well, I will ask your husband --
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 | Q. -- when I have an opportunity.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about -- in the same time
- 18 | frame, you guys got sued in the Cayman Islands, too,
- 19 | right?
- THE COURT: So, Mr. -- Mr. Adams, you keep saying
- 21 | in the same time frame, but we've talked about several
- 22 different time frames, so if you will talk about which
- 23 | time frame you are specifically addressing.
- MR. ADAMS: Okay.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Adams) June the 30th of 2020, didn't your

- companies, HERC CEZC and AG HERC, Incorporated get sued in the Cayman Islands?
 - A. I'm aware of that.

end the relationship.

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. Did you get a copy of the lawsuit?
- 5 A. No, I did not. That goes to our legal department.
- 6 But I will say this: I do know about this one. We had
- 7 | rented office space as part of the Cayman Enterprise City,
- 8 | which is an organization that helped companies provide,
- 9 like, incubator and office space, and it was a real
- 10 | community when we had a company down there.

We were advised to go to Cayman Islands from a representative Deloitte of San Francisco, because that was in a jurisdiction that was favorable for the -- it was called protocol. After two years, we had completed the protocol, we didn't need to be in Cayman anymore. We informed the Cayman Enterprise City that we would like to

COVID hit. It became a little nutty, and we were having a hard time with any interaction for a while. And then all of a sudden, we found out that there was, like, I think it was \$10,000 they said that we owed -- it might have been 20, I don't remember. See, again, I don't remember the dates -- I mean, the price. But it was a small amount of money they said we still owed. It was settled, I believe for \$19,000, and they were fine with

1 They were grateful. So it was a local, Hey, you guys haven't paid. 2 3 Well, yeah, tried to work with you, but then all craziness 4 because of COVID hit, so it was very minor. 0. Now, do you -- you would agree with me, ma'am -- or 6 would you agree with me that if a community had decided to 7 give a business tax-payer money -- which is what you 8 received, right, economic incentive is tax-payer money, 9 that -- that city or that community may want to know that 10 the people that they just gave this money to have got 11 these pending lawsuits out there? Don't you agree that 12 that's at least relevant for them to know that **13** information? 14 You would have to ask the City. **15** I'm talking to you. Q. 16 I know you're talking to me and I'm answering. Α. 17 (Cross-talk.) 18 THE COURT: Just a moment, ma'am. Ma'am, listen 19 to his question. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 (Cross-talk.) 22 THE COURT: Ma'am. 23 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Listen to his question. Don't talk 24 25

over one another.

- 1
- (By Mr. Adams) Wouldn't you agree that that would
- be a legitimate thing for that community to at least look 2
- 3 at and consider whether they've given the money to
- 4 somebody they shouldn't give it to?
- 5 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor, calls for
- 6 her to speculate. She doesn't work for the City. She's
- 7 not the city manager, and she's not in the City's
- 8 employment.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Your objection is sustained.
- (By Mr. Adams) Well, that's -- the stuff that he 10 Q.
- said about you was true, right? When he told them that 11
- 12 you had these lawsuits, that -- that was true, right?
- 13 (No response.) Α.
- 14 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. He said a
- 15 lot of stuff, so I don't think she can answer that
- 16 auestion.
- 17 MR. ADAMS: I'll get more specific.
- 18 THE COURT: If you would, that would be very
- helpful. 19 Thank you.
- (By Mr. Adams) When he told the Bartlesville 20
- 21 Development Association in that communication that you had
- 22 -- there had been this lawsuit filed in Texas from
- 23 American Express for over \$120,000, that was the truth --
- 24 that was true?
- 25 He said we were a Ponzi scheme. He said

- 1 | accusations that were incorrect.
 - Q. Okay. We'll get to the Ponzi scheme --
- 3 | A. Well, I'm just answering your question.
- THE COURT. Ma'am. Ma'am. Listen just a
- 5 | moment.

2

- 6 Mr. Adams, repeat your question.
- 7 Listen to his question and just answer it.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay.
 - THE COURT: Answer what he asks you.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Try again.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Adams) When he said that you guys had been
- 12 | sued in Texas for over \$120,000, that was true?
- 13 A. As far as I know, that was a settled case, but you
- 14 | have to ask my husband. He was involved with it and our
- 15 | legal counsel was involved with it. It was settled. It
- 16 was settled. And, again, this was part of COVID time, so
- 17 | I know that there was interaction, but I don't know the
- 18 details.
- 19 Q. When he informed the Bartlesville Development
- 20 Agency that you had been sued in the Cayman Islands, that
- 21 | was true?
- 22 A. In reference to the e-mail that I think you're
- 23 | referring to -- if I'm understanding you correctly,
- 24 you're asking about that e-mail that was sent to the city
- 25 manager; is that correct?

- 1 Q. No, I'm talking about the one that was sent to the
- 2 | Bartlesville Development Agency.
- A. As far as I know, it was sent to the city manager, and -- her -- his assistant.
- THE COURT: Mr. Adams, are you referring to a specific exhibit that has been admitted?
- MR. ADAMS: I'm referring to exhibits that have been given to me by the State, Judge. But I'm going to move on to the next issue.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Now, ma'am, you take issue with the
- 12 | fact that he is claiming and he was telling people that
- 13 | you guys were conducting a Ponzi scheme. Is that -- did I
- 14 | hear you say that earlier?
- 15 A. Could you repeat what you just said, please.
- 16 | Q. Did I hear you say earlier that you were
- 17 complaining that Mr. Nelson was telling people that you --
- 18 your companies were conducting a Ponzi scheme?
- 19 A. I was stating a fact that he's been telling people
- 20 that.
- 21 Q. And you're complaining about that because you're
- 22 | saying that it's not true?
- 23 A. It is not true.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I don't think I used the word "complain."

- 1 | Q. Okay. You're saying it's not true?
- 2 A. It's not true.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Now, isn't it true that -- what's the name
- 4 of the -- you guys had a token called HERC, right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- $6 \mid Q. H-E-R-C?$
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And isn't it true that the chief marketer, one of
- 9 your advisors for that company, was indicted and pled
- 10 | guilty to a federal Ponzi scheme in the bit club
- 11 prosecution?
- 12 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for
- 13 her to testify to hearsay. We don't have anything --
- 14 | there's no one here to testify to that.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Adams) If you know.
- 16 THE COURT: Just a moment. I'm going to sustain
- 17 her objection, so move on to your next question.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, Joby Weeks used to be one of
- 19 your board advisors, did he not?
- 20 A. He did.
- 21 Q. Okay. Joby Weeks was the chief marketer for the
- 22 | Bit Club Mining?
- 23 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. Not
- 24 relevant. Mr. Weeks has never been to Bartlesville, does
- 25 | not work for HeraSoft and has nothing to do with this

1 | case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: How is it relevant, Mr. Adams? And if you want to come forward and discuss it, that's okay, too.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I can ask a different question and show the relevance. I'll tie it right up.

THE COURT: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Adams) You put out a white paper in your blockchain -- your HERC blockchain, right?
- 10 A. I did not. Again, this is not something I can
 11 discuss with knowledge, and I won't discuss anything I
 12 don't know about. You have to talk to the people within
 13 the company that has knowledge base about all that.
- 14 Q. Joby Weeks is no longer one of your advisors, is
- 15 | he?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Now, ma'am, do you guys make -- you say things -18 you're involved in the market in your company, right?
- 19 A. No, I am not.
- 20 Q. Well, you fly around with your husband, right?
- 21 A. I do. I have. Not this year, but in the past,
- 22 | yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And you've gone to conferences with him?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you showed up with interviews on YouTube with

- 1 | him?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you've gone to Dubai with him?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And New York City with him?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And, in fact, you're the -- at the time in 2019,
- 8 | you were the president of Anthem Vault, were you not?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, do you guys say things to people that are not
- 11 | true to look like big shots?
- 12 A. No. No. And we're not big shots.
- 13 Q. Doesn't --
- 14 A. We've been around big shots, we're not big shots.
- 15 Q. Doesn't your husband claim that he is a diplomat --
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. -- for the Free Republic of Liberland?
- 18 A. No, he does not.
- 19 Q. Ma'am, doesn't he even have a passport that says he
- 20 has a diplomatic passport for the Free Republic of
- 21 | Liberland?
- 22 A. You would have to ask my husband.
- 23 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. None of
- 24 | this is relevant to why we are here today, which is a
- 25 | criminal case for Mr. Nelson.

1 MR. ADAMS: It goes to honesty, Judge.

THE COURT: You're asking her questions that she can only answer, I believe, through hearsay, unless you can establish it otherwise.

MR. ADAMS: Okay.

THE COURT: So sustained.

- Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, you have a current campaign on a website for HeraSoft, and it is called wefunder?
- 9 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

- 10 Q. You know about that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. There's a video of you on the website?
- 13 A. Not a video of me on the website.
- 14 Q. Well, a picture of a video of you on the website?
- 15 A. It's not a picture of a video of me on the website.
- 16 Q. Would it refresh your recollection if you were able
- 17 | to look at the website?
- 18 A. There is a picture of my husband and I, but the
- 19 | video doesn't have either of us on it.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So there's a picture of you guys and you're
- 21 trying to raise money for HeraSoft?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you tell them that you're the co-founder there
- 24 of HeraSoft?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Now, also, it lists the people associated with your Q. 2 company, does it not? 3 Α. Yes. And you're listing that to present your company to 4 5 the public in hopes of raising three million dollars? I don't know what that number is. Again --6 Α. MS. GULLETT: Well, Judge, objection. I don't 7 8 -- why is this relevant? 9 MR. ADAMS: I'm about to get there, Judge. THE COURT: Wait a minute. 10 11 If you want to make an objection, stand up and make 12 an objection, and then I'll let him respond. 13 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, the State would object 14 that this is not relevant. As to the business doings of **15** HeraSoft, what they do or not do has nothing to do with 16 why we're here today. **17** THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Adams, your response? 18 MR. ADAMS: My response is: Is the claim --19 through this witness -- is that my client is harassing 20 them by claiming that they're running a Ponzi scheme when 21 they were not, and they are presenting things falsely to 22 the public on this website that I would like to
- THE COURT: Ms. Gullett?

cross-examine her about.

23

25

MS. GULLETT: We have had no evidence that there

- 1 | is anything false going on with HeraSoft.
- THE WITNESS: Right.
- THE COURT: I think at this point, Mr. Adams,
- 4 | you are assuming facts not in evidence. If you want to --
- 5 | well, so for that reason, I'm going to sustain her
- 6 objection. And if you need to rephrase, you may, and
- 7 | let's see if we can get there.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, do you guys say anything
- 9 | that's false or misleading on your wefunder website?
- 10 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I didn't put that together, though. I had nothing
- 13 to do with any of that. You have to ask my husband --
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. -- if you want those answers. I'm serious, I don't
- 16 know these things.
- 17 Q. Okay. You know who Josh Gunter is, right?
- 18 | A. I do.
- 19 Q. He's your nephew?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Is he related to you?
- 22 A. Not biologically.
- 23 Q. By marriage?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. If you are -- if you're not related by marriage and

1 not related biologically, how are you related? 2 THE WITNESS: Is it okay to answer that? 3 THE COURT: If he asks you a questions, answer it unless --4 5 THE WITNESS: He is my cousin's stepson. Cousin's stepson, not related directly. 6 7 (By Mr. Adams) He's also -- you've got him listed 0. 8 on this website as the chief administrator officer, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And he also claims that he has a diplomatic passport from the Free Republic of Liberland, does he not? 11 12 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor, not 13 relevant what is on the HeraSoft website as far as this 14 witness goes. If Mr. Adams would like to bring in some of 15 these other people and have them testify and then recall 16 this witness, he could do so. **17** THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ADAMS: Judge, I would like an opportunity 18 19 to respond. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 MR. ADAMS: I would want the record to note that 22 I have not had an opportunity to respond and I object to 23 not having an opportunity to respond. 24 THE COURT: You may ask your next question. 25 (By Mr. Adams) Well, ma'am, let's talk about Q.

- 1 November the 7th of 2018. Now, on November the 7th of
- 2 | 2018, you guys were in the process --
- When I say "you guys," I'm talking about Anthem
- 5 -- was in the process of launching the HERC token?
- 6 A. It wasn't Anthem Vault.
- 7 Q. But you were involved with the launch of the HERC
- 8 token?

Vault.

- 9 A. I don't remember the exact date, but it was '18.
- 10 Q. I'm just saying --
- 11 A. Again, you have to ask my husband these things, but
- 12 | there was a launch -- to answer your question as well as I
- 13 | can, there was a launch of the HERC token at some point in
- 14 | the timeline, I guess.
- 15 Q. In fact, you used to have an e-mail address that
- 16 | said cynthia@herc.one?
- 17 A. Again, a subsidiary of our company.
- 18 Q. But that was your address, right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So cynthia@herc.one, that's you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And paul@herc.one, who is that?
- 23 A. Paul is our general counsel -- our in-house general
- 24 | counsel for the company.
- 25 Q. And is he out of Texas?

- 1 A. He is.
- 2 Q. What's his last name?
- 3 | A. Aubert. Would you like me to spell it.
- 4 Q. Is it A-U-B-E-R-T?
- 5 A. It is.
- 6 Q. Now, Mr. Nelson, at that time, was working for
- 7 | Anthem Vault?
- 8 A. He was.
- 9 | Q. And Mr. Nelson, he had an e-mail address of
- 10 | michael@anthemvault, did he not?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, Mr. Nelson was working for you guys at the
- 13 | time?
- 14 A. Yes. In '18, yes.
- 15 Q. Yeah. And Mr. Nelson sent an e-mail to you at
- 16 | cynthia@herc.one and paul@herc.one to discuss with you
- 17 | this upcoming call that you guys had about the launch of
- 18 | the HERC. Do you remember that?
- 19 A. Upcoming hall? H-A-L-L, hall?
- 20 Q. Yeah. Like, a -- like a, general call for the
- 21 | company call or maybe on video?
- 22 A. Oh call.
- 23 Q. Yeah.
- 24 A. Call. I'm sorry. I thought you said hall.
- 25 I don't remember that, no.

- 1 | Q. Ma'am, do you think it might refresh your
- 2 | recollection if you were able to look at a copy of that
- 3 | e-mail address?
- 4 A. The e-mail address?
- 5 | Q. I mean, not the -- I apologize.
- 6 Of the e-mail, the printed e-mail, would that
- 7 | refresh your recollection about whether you received that
- 8 | e-mail?
- 9 A. Sure. Yes.
- 10 MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I approach?
- 11 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 12 A. Thank you.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Now, ma'am, that's been forwarded a
- 14 | couple of different times. So you'll have to flip to the
- 15 | -- to the second page there before -- at the bottom of the
- 16 second page.
- 17 I printed it large so it could be read.
- 18 And if you'll just kind of read through that a
- 19 | little bit and see if that --
- 20 A. That page?
- 21 | Q. The e-mail, it starts on the next page.
- 22 If you'd read through that a little bit and see if
- 23 | that refreshes your recollection about receiving that
- 24 | e-mail.
- 25 A. I don't -- I don't recognize this e-mail.

- 1 Q. Excuse me, ma'am. I didn't hear what you said.
- 2 | A. I don't remember this e-mail. That is my address,
- 3 | I do not remember it.
- 4 Q. So is it your belief that you never received it, it
- 5 | may have got caught in the trash, or that you just don't
- 6 | recall?
- 7 A. I don't recall reading this e-mail.
- 8 Q. Okay. Well, if you don't recall, I guess we'll
- 9 | just have to go about it a different way. Ma'am, have you
- 10 | ever been told -- I'll move on from that.
- 11 A. Okay. Do I --
- 12 0. Yeah.
- 13 A. -- give it back to you?
- 14 Q. You can set it to the side.
- Do you remember, in November of 2018, ever being
- 16 | told by Michael Nelson in any way, shape, or form, that it
- 17 was important that the employees not be trading the HERC
- 18 | token because it's market manipulation?
- 19 A. I don't recall any of that. Whether -- again,
- 20 wrong person to ask.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Sorry.
- 23 Q. Do you ever recall being told by Michael Nelson, in
- 24 November of 2018, in any shape or form, that your husband.
- 25 | Anthem --

- 1 I'm sorry, ma'am?
- 2 Α. Well, I --
- 3 Can I get you --Q.
- 4 (Cross-talk.)

5

8

9

THE COURT: Mr. Adams, if you don't want -- she 6 asked you to come get it, and you didn't. So if you don't 7 want her to look at it, then take it from her.

MR. ADAMS: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 10 (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, in November of 2018, do you recall being told by Michael Nelson in any shape or form 11 12 that your husband, Anthem, had been asking him to pump the 13 price and get volume trading of the HERC token?
- 14 I do not recall; however, that e-mail says November
- 7th of 2018. I don't recall that e-mail. I don't 15
- I don't recall it. Again, maybe ask Paul 16 remember it.
- **17** Aubert.
- Yeah. What about your husband? 18 Q.
- 19 You'll have to ask him and my husband, too.
- 20 Now, do you recall Mr. Nelson, in November Okay. Q.
- 21 of 2018, complaining that he believed that your husband
- had asked him to do something that was illegal by pumping 22
- 23 the HERC token?
- Again, I don't recall that. I don't believe my 24
- 25 husband would ask anybody to do anything illegal; however,

- 1 I can't speak for my husband. You have to ask him
- 2 | directly.
- 3 | Q. Now, ma'am, your phone number --
- 4 Let's forward to the day and focus on the day that
- 5 your husband fired Michael Nelson, February the 24th --
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 | Q. -- of 2019. Do you have that in your mind?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. Do you recall that?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, at the time, your phone number -- was
- 12 | your phone number 310-435-5707?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, that's a Los Angeles number?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And your husband's phone number was 702-569-3431?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And now that's a Texas number?
- 19 A. No, it's a -- a Nevada number -- Las Vegas number.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, do you recall being involved in a -- in
- 21 the text conversation where your husband fired Mr. Nelson?
- 22 A. I wasn't involved with that text interchange, not
- 23 | that one, but I was standing next to him when all this was
- 24 happening.
- 25 | Q. Ma'am, is it possible you just forgot that text

- 1 | exchange?
- 2 | A. I had texted with Michael earlier in the day
- 3 | because he was agitated, and I was trying to calm him down
- 4 | because what he was saying was out of line, not true, and
- 5 I do recall responding to him mid-day saying, Michael,
- 6 | that's not true, what are you talking about?
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. That's the end of my interaction. And I don't know
- 9 | the details. It's been many, many years, but if that was
- 10 the same text exchange that my husband was on with him
- 11 | later in the evening, then I was on it, but I didn't see
- 12 | it. So I was standing next to him while he was texting
- 13 and then -- you know the rest.
- 14 Q. So are you saying you might have been involved in
- 15 | this text -- you might have been getting sent in this text
- 16 conversation, but you were paying attention to your
- 17 husband and not your phone?
- 18 A. I texted with Michael Nelson earlier in the day.
- 19 That could have been a text thread that my husband was on,
- 20 | I don't recall, but I quit interacting with him in the
- 21 afternoon. This was in the evening of February 24th,
- 22 2019, that the aggression happened, the threat to Mark
- 23 | Heatwole happened, and Anthem texted "You're fired," I
- 24 | think, and then the next morning -- and then he called the
- 25 general counsel, said, You gotta send a termination letter

- 1 | the next morning. That's what I know.
- Q. Now, ma'am, do you recall during that conversation that Michael Nelson was asking your husband where the
- 4 | three million dollars was?

5

- MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor; calls for hearsay. She says she doesn't recall the conversation.
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 MS. GULLETT: More important, I -- more 9 important, I don't know if she was even in it.
- THE COURT: I -- I think the question was: Do
 11 you recall that? That's a yes or no question.
- 12 THE WITNESS: No.
- Q. (By Mr. Adams) Were you observing this conversation while it was going on?
- 15 A. It was a text conversation. I was standing next to
- 16 my husband. He was -- later -- this was in the evening
- 17 when he had gotten so aggravated and aggressive. And then
- 18 | Mark Heatwole called my husband -- because I didn't know
- 19 who he was texting with --
- 20 Q. Ma'am --
- 21 A. Okay. I'm rattling on.
- 22 Q. You're pulling away from the point.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. So do you -- were you observing this conversation?
- 25 A. I was observing him having the conversation, but I

- 1 didn't see the conversations because it was text. Is that
- 2 | what --
- 3 Q. Ma'am, is it possible --
- 4 A. I'm not following.
- 5 Q. -- that you just don't recall it?
- 6 A. I'm not quite sure what you're asking me.
- 7 | Q. Is it possible that you just might not recall being
- 8 | involved in the conversation, but that it was sent to you
- 9 on your cell phone?
- 10 A. Okay. Which part of the conversation are we
- 11 | talking about?
- 12 Q. The part of the conversation where your husband
- 13 | tells Mr. Nelson to calm the F down or you're fired right
- 14 | now, calls him an MF'er and a bigot.
- 15 A. Okay. I was not a part of that conversation. He
- 16 | text --
- 17 This is all text, correct?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. If my number was on it, I wasn't at my phone. I
- 20 | did not see that interaction.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Is that what you're asking me?
- 23 Q. Yeah.
- 24 A. Okay. Yeah. I did not see that interaction. If
- 25 | I'm on the trail, I was -- I was standing next to him.

1 Actually, I walked out because he was very upset, and we had --2 3 Now, I'm going way off. Yeah, sorry. MS. GULLETT: So, Judge, my understanding is 4 that there is a text conversation. We've heard quite a 5 bit of it talked about. The State of Oklahoma would have 6 no objection to this document coming in. My understanding 7 is that Anthem Blanchard could probably authenticate this, 8 9 and it sounds like she was present whenever the texts were at least being exchanged. 10 11 THE COURT: Do you intend to introduce it as --12 MR. ADAMS: Judge, I --13 THE COURT: -- an exhibit? 14 MR. ADAMS: -- I agree with the statement. like to introduce it. I understand that the Court 15 probably doesn't want me to introduce my copy with 16 highlights, but I have extra prints of it here. And at a **17** 18 convenient time --19 Or do you want me to introduce it now so you can talk about it? 20 21 MS. GULLETT: That's up to you. 22 MR. ADAMS: Okay. 23 MS. GULLETT: Have some of that back --24 MR. ADAMS: I'll just introduce this now, Judge, 25 with the highlights. If it's a problem -- is that okay

- 1 | with the Court?
- THE COURT: Well, you need a clean copy of that.
- 3 Do you have an extra copy?
- 4 MR. ADAMS: I do. Just in this pile.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a moment to
- 6 | find it.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Okay. Judge, I'm going to put
- 8 Mr. Nelson to work, so I can keep moving on.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. Let's move on.
- MR. ADAMS: All right.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Adams) So let's talk about this. Is it
- 12 | your claim that you believe -- is it your claim that
- 13 Mr. Nelson was fired because of threatening Mr. Heatwole?
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when you filed your civil harassment
- 16 restraining order -- or your request for the civil
- 17 | harassment restraining order, you attached a number of
- 18 | documents to that?
- 19 A. Well, there were 600 printed pages. They didn't
- 20 take all of them. They took enough to prove that there
- 21 | was a lot of --
- 22 Q. Okay. I'm talking about in California.
- 23 A. Yeah, I know. I'm telling you in California.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I -- I walked into the Santa Monica police report

- 1 | -- department, with over 600 printed pages of harassment,
- 2 | and that was only a month and a week or so after he got
- 3 | fired.
- 4 | Q. Okay.
- 5 A. They told me to go to the courthouse to do this
- 6 because they were concerned, too. When I went there, they
- 7 | did take it -- they did put a restraining order, submit
- 8 | it. The only reason it was rejected is because we didn't
- 9 | know where he was and they couldn't serve him.
- 10 Q. Let me stop you.
- 11 A. Oh. You asked. I'm sorry.
- 12 Q. You attached --
- 13 First of all, isn't it true that they denied the
- 14 restraining order and told you that you could have a
- 15 | hearing because they didn't see how you had alleged that
- 16 Mr. Nelson --
- 17 A. No. They couldn't find him to serve him. That's
- 18 \mid what I was told.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. So --
- 21 | Q. The judge didn't issue an emergency restraining
- 22 order. The judge set it down for a hearing?
- 23 A. Because in California, even with an emergency
- 24 restraining order, the person has to be served, and no one
- 25 | knew where he was.

- 1 | Q. Have you seen these documents --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- that you attached to this?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. Now, one of the things that you attached to
- 6 | this was a police report --
- Well, let me ask you this: You wouldn't have filed that with the Court if you didn't believe it, right?
- 9 A. Believe what?
- 10 Q. What you attached?
- 11 | A. Was that Mark Heatwole's police report?
- 12 Q. Well, anything, is the question. You wouldn't
- 13 attach anything to the police report that you did not have
- 14 | a belief to be true, right?
- 15 A. I believe Mr. Heatwole when he was telling us that
- 16 Mr. Nelson had threatened to shoot him because he was
- 17 aggravated. So if that's the police report you're
- 18 | referring to, yes, I believed it.
- 19 Q. You believed the -- you believed the police report
- 20 that you attached to this restraining order?
- 21 | A. If you will let me know what I attached, I will
- 22 tell you.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I'm pretty sure the only police report out there at
- 25 | that point was -- unless -- I don't -- yeah.

1 MR. ADAMS: May I approach, Judge? 2 THE COURT: Let's stop right here. It's 12:00. We're going to take a break -- take a lunch break. 3 4 That'll give you an opportunity to find whatever e-mail 5 you were looking for. MR. ADAMS: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: We'll just stop there. 8 So, ma'am, we're going to take a lunch break. 9 may step down. 10 THE WITNESS: Now? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Leave? 13 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. **15** (Whereupon, a bench conference was had 16 outside the hearing of the jury. This 17 bench conference was not a requested part of 18 this transcript.) 19 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, during the 20 lunch break, I would remind you not to talk about this 21 case to anybody. Don't talk about it amongst yourselves. 22 Don't allow anyone to talk about it in your presence. 23 When you return from lunch, if you would again return to the jury room, and then we will bring you in at 24

a time that is appropriate. So with that being said, it's

```
102
 1
     now 12:00; if everybody will be back here and ready to go
 2
     at 1:15.
 3
               MS. GULLETT:
                             Judge.
 4
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
 5
               MS. GULLETT: I'm not sure who's going to be
 6
     able to do arraignments from my office --
 7
               THE COURT: Oh.
 8
               MS. GULLETT: -- since they are doing --
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               MS. GULLETT: -- that trial upstairs. So if we
11
     may, may I have 1:30?
12
               THE COURT: I think they mistried that one
13
     upstairs.
14
               MS. GULLETT: They did?
15
               THE COURT: I don't know.
16
               Let's do 1:30, to be on the safe side and so you
17
     guys don't end up sitting in there an extra 15 minutes.
18
     So 1:30.
19
               (Whereupon, a lunch break was taken.
20
               Thereafter, proceedings resumed as follows:)
21
               THE COURT: Okay. We are now back on the record
22
     in Washington County District Court, Case Number
23
     CF-21-304, State of Oklahoma versus Michael Eric Nelson.
24
     Everybody is back after their lunch break. And so at this
25
     time, Madam Bailiff, will you please direct the jurors to
```

1	their place in the jury box.
2	(The following took place within the presence and
3	hearing of the jury:)
4	THE COURT: Ms. Blanchard, I would, again,
5	remind you that you are under oath.
6	And, Mr. Adams, you may continue your
7	cross-examination.
8	MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, at this time, I would
9	move to admit Defendant's Exhibit Number 1, which is the
10	text messages that Ms Ms. Gullett had agreed to.
11	THE COURT: And that's a clean copy?
12	MR. ADAMS: Uh-huh.
13	MS. GULLETT: No objection. I'm sorry, I didn't
14	realize
15	THE COURT: Defendant's Number 1 shall be
16	admitted.
17	What's the date on that, Mr. Adams?
18	MR. ADAMS: Judge, it's a screenshot, but the
19	date oh. No, actually, it's on February 24th, 2019, at
20	9:46 p.m.
21	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
22	Q. (By Mr. Adams) Now, Ms. Blanchard, when you filed
23	this protective order, your request for the protective
24	order you attached or restraining orders, as they call
25	them in California, you attached an e-mail that was sent

- 1 out regarding updates regarding Mr. Nelson. Do you recall
- 2 | that?
- 3 A. No, I don't.
- 4 Q. Would it refresh your recollection to see it?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I approach?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Now, ma'am, if you'll -- this isn't
 9 numbered as much, but --
- 10 Let's see, seven.
- Ma'am, if you'll count back in seven pages into

 that -- at the bottom lower left-hand, it says one of six.
- 13 A. I'm sorry. Say that once more.
- 14 Q. I was asking if you'll count in seven pages --
- 15 THE COURT: Just a moment. Hold on just a
- 16 | minute.
- 17 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Seven pages from the --
- THE COURT: Mr. Adams, just a moment. Give our court reporter a moment, please.
- THE WITNESS: I did not hear what you just said.
- 21 | I was asking --
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Okay. If you would count seven
- 24 pages from the front.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 | Q. And at the bottom, it should say -- the bottom
- 2 | left-hand, it should say one of six.
- 3 A. No. It says two of six.
- 4 Q. Well, then go to the page before it. Do you see
- 5 | that one?
- 6 A. Uh-huh. Okay. I'm there.
- 7 Q. Are we on the same page?
- 8 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't know how she
- 9 would know that, if you said they're both on the same
- 10 page.
- 11 MR. ADAMS: Yeah.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Does it say one of six at the
- 13 | bottom?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And that's the page I'd like to talk about.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Now, ma'am, at the top of that, there's a number
- 18 | that's stamped there that says 19-S. Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And now, that would be the case number for
- 21 | that temporary restraining order that you filed, wouldn't
- 22 | it?
- 23 A. I don't know, sir.
- THE COURT: Mr. Adams, are you asking her to
- 25 refresh your memory? Because you're asking her questions

1 from that document. 2 MR. ADAMS: Okav. 3 THE COURT: If you would do that properly, that 4 would --(By Mr. Adams) When you looked at that e-mail at 5 6 the top and refresh your recollection about whether or not 7 you attached that to the temporary retraining order that 8 you filed in California --9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 (By Mr. Adams) -- against, Mr. Nelson on April the Q. 11th of 2019? 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. What I want you to do is ask her to refresh her memory, retrieve the document from her, 13 and then ask questions from -- ask questions to her, if 14 **15** you would. 16 MR. ADAMS: Okay. THE COURT: Ma'am, if you would read through **17** 18 that, refresh your memory. 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. THE COURT: And when you're finished reading 20 21 silently, then let us know. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: And then wait for a question. 23 MR. ADAMS: May I retrieve? 24 Yes, please. 25 THE COURT:

- 1 | Q. (By Mr. Adams) Now, ma'am, you would agree with me
- 2 -- or would you agree with me that when you applied for
- 3 | this restraining order in California against Mr. Nelson
- 4 | that you attached exhibits to it, e-mails that you had
- 5 | e-mailed out to multiple people accusing him of crimes?
- 6 A. I didn't e-mail that e-mail. That wasn't me.
- 7 Q. But your company did?
- 8 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor.
- 9 A. Again --
- MS. GULLETT: This e-mail -- this letter is
- 11 | written by a Paul Aubert.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. ADAMS: Well, Judge, I'm not referring to
- 14 | the letter. I'm referring to the e-mail on the page
- 15 before.
- 16 | THE COURT: I believe she just said she didn't
- 17 | send that e-mail out, okay? So --
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Adams) But your company sent it out?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. A company that you were an officer in?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you forwarded that e-mail, actually, over to
- 23 | your husband and then your husband sent it --
- 24 | A. No, I didn't. It -- I think it was -- I think --
- 25 | well, no. No. Paul Aubert wrote the letter. Anthem, my

1 husband, sent it out to our shareholders. If I recall --2 and I could be wrong about this --3 THE COURT: Ma'am, I just need you to just 4 answer the question that --5 THE WITNESS: I --THE COURT: -- he asked --6 THE WITNESS: I will try. 8 THE COURT: Ma'am, stop. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 10 THE COURT: Stop. Stop just a moment. 11 Listen to his question and then answer the question that's asked. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Okay. THE COURT: And if he wants to ask you another 14 question, he'll follow up. Okay? But just answer the 15 question that's asked, and don't add anything to it. 16 **17** Thank you. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. (By Mr. Adams) So you agree with me -- or would you 19 0. 20 agree that, to multiple people, you had sent out things 21 attacking his credibility? I did not send anything out. 22 Α. The company had sent things out attacking his 23 0. credibility? 24

Objection, Your Honor.

MS. GULLETT:

1 doesn't speak for the company. 2 THE COURT: Well -- and it's been asked and 3 answered, probably numerous times. So I'm going to sustain your objection. I think it's clear that she did 4 not send out that e-mail and anything about that --5 anything about that e-mail would be hearsay at this point. 6 7 MR. ADAMS: Judge, in response to that, for the record, she's adopted it -- the belief of it by attaching 8 9 it to the petition, so we think it's an exception to the 10 hearsay rule. And I can give the Court a cite if you 11 would like. 12 THE COURT: If you would like to do that, please feel free. 13 14 MR. ADAMS: It's page 397, Title 12, 2801-B-2-A, **15** B, C, and D, I think it qualifies under all statements, 16 not hearsay -- if the statement is offered against --17 THE COURT: Why don't you bring that here and 18 let me read it. We can make that argument up here. 19 (Whereupon, a bench conference was had 20 outside the hearing of the jury. This 21 bench conference was not a requested part of 22 this transcript.) 23 (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am --Q. 24 MR. ADAMS: May I approach, again?

Yes.

THE COURT:

- 1 | Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, I placed up there for you the
- 2 | temporary restraining order document. Do you see it right
- 3 | there next to you?
- 4 A. Yes. Do you want me to pick it up?
- 5 Q. Yeah.
- 6 And do you recognize that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that is a document that you filed in
- 9 | court in California against Mr. Nelson?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And those documents that are attached to
- 12 | that are documents that you took up with you to the
- 13 | courthouse when you went to go do that?
- 14 A. I took 600 pages of documents with me.
- 15 Q. Okay. But within those 600 pages, those are the
- 16 ones that were attached to that restraining order?
- 17 A. Yes, but I didn't attach them, the court clerk did.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. She looked through and she just chose the ones that
- 20 | she felt should be attached. It was 600 pages, so --
- 21 Q. She attached some of the documents you took with
- 22 you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you attached that in hopes that a judge would
- 25 | issue a restraining order against Mr. Nelson?

- 1 A. Until I was told that it wouldn't happen if they
- 2 | didn't know where he was to serve him.
- 3 Q. But that's the purpose of it, right?
- 4 A. It was.
- 5 Q. Okay.

7

8

18

19

- MR. ADAMS: Judge, at this time, I would move to introduce this temporary restraining order document as Defendant's Exhibit Number 2.
- 9 THE COURT: Ms. Gullett?
- MS. GULLETT: I think I could have an objection,
 but the State has no objection. I think there's some
 interesting items in here.
- THE COURT: Okay. Based on the State not
 objecting to that, I would admit Defense Number 2, the
 restraining order.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, now we can move on to the next issue.
 - In the voicemail that the State introduced, I believe it's State's Exhibit Number 1, Mr. Nelson is talking about an individual by the name of Chad Koehn.
- 21 | Would you agree with that? Do you remember that?
- 22 A. Oh, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And now, Chad Koehn is an individual -- and
- 24 I saw -- well, he's here in the courtroom today, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And Chad Koehn is an individual that owns
- 2 | some sort of an investment firm in Kansas?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And he became involved in your company, did
- 5 he not?
- 6 A. He became a shareholder.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Wasn't he at your all-hands-on company-wide
- 8 | event that you had here in Bartlesville back -- was it
- 9 June of 2016?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. You're saying he was not?
- 12 A. No, I'm saying you had the wrong date.
- 13 Q. Oh, I'm wrong on the day. Could you tell us what
- 14 day it was?
- 15 A. It was in June of 2021.
- 16 | Q. Oh, okay. Yeah, I said 2016, didn't I?
- 17 | A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Yeah. Trying to do two things at once.
- 19 So he was there?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And he helped you guys raise money?
- 22 A. I'm not going to answer anything that I don't have
- 23 a knowledge base on, so you need to ask my husband or Paul
- 24 | Aubert, our general counsel. I will say that Chad Koehn
- 25 | did become --

1 THE COURT: Ms. --2 -- did become --Α. 3 THE COURT: Ma'am. 4 -- a board director --Α. 5 THE COURT: Ma'am, hold on just a moment. 6 Listen to the question that he asks you and then 7 answer that question without adding information to it. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Let's try it again. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 Go ahead and ask your question. (By Mr. Adams) So he became a board member? 11 Q. 12 He did. Α. And when you say "board member," you mean like a 13 Q. 14 board of director? 1.5 Yes. Α. And you heard in the voicemail that Mr. Nelson said 16 that he was doing some things and that he had filed some 17 complaints against him? 18 19 I'm sorry. Say that again. You heard in the voicemail that Mr. Nelson accused 20 Q. him of doing some things that he left in that voicemail 21 and said he had filed some complaints against Mr. Koehn? 22 I think he said he was going to. I'm not sure if 23 Α. 24 he had done it yet.

25

Q.

And since that time, Mr. Koehn, your board member,

- 1 has been suspended from his occupation, has he not?
- 2 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- THE COURT: Okay. That's the answer to the
- 4 question.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, did you remove him from the
- 8 board --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. -- when he lost -- so you let a guy continue on the
- 11 | board that lost --
- 12 A. He stepped down from the board. He stepped down.
- 13 Q. And you're telling us you don't know whether or not
- 14 | that had anything to do with him raising 1.45 million
- 15 | dollars for your company?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you're talking about.
- 17 | 1.45 million dollars --
- 18 Q. Yeah.
- 19 A. -- for our company?
- 20 Q. Yeah.
- 21 A. That's incorrect.
- 22 Q. Did he raise more than that?
- 23 A. He didn't raise any money.
- 24 | Q. well, ma'am, didn't you and your husband --
- 25 A. Okay.

1 -- go up to his place there in Kansas and have a Q. 2 seminar where you were trying to raise money for your 3 company? 4 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. It's not 5 relevant to why we're here today. MR. ADAMS: She's saying she doesn't know. 6 7 MS. GULLETT: She's answered the question. 8 THE COURT: She has answered the question. 9 Mr. Adams, I'm still struggling with why any of this is 10 relevant. And you assured me you were going to get to the 11 relevancy, but I have not yet discovered it. I'm just trying to put some context 12 MR. ADAMS: for the statements in State's Exhibit Number 1 that they **13** introduced that my client is making about Mr. Koehn is 14 **15** just to help the jury understand what's really going on 16 here. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 17 (By Mr. Adams) Well, now, in the letter that the 18 State introduced, I believe it was 4-A, the letter, you 19 know, with the -- the 4 is the envelope and the 4-A is the 20 letter part of it. 21 Do you remember that exhibit? 22 Are you referring to the certified letter that was 23 Α. delivered to the courthouse? 24

25

Q.

Yes.

- 1 A. Okay. Yes, I remember that.
- 2 | Q. And just -- when you're saying "courthouse," are
- 3 you saying it was delivered to this courthouse --
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. -- or it was delivered to the old --
- 6 A. No --
- 7 | Q. -- courthouse?
- 8 | A. -- my fault.
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. The old courthouse, which is now the headquarters
- 11 of the company.
- 12 | Q. Oh, okay.
- 13 A. I apologize for that. That's confusing.
- 14 Q. I just want to be clear.
- 15 A. I know, yeah. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Now, do you remember that letter?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And in that letter, there's talk about a dead
- 19 | whistle-blower?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, just to put this statement into
- 22 | context, you -- did you -- you knew what he was referring
- 23 to when he referenced that, right?
- 24 A. I had no idea what he was talking about.
- 25 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you a couple more

- 1 questions.
- You have an individual -- you were represented by an attorney by the name of Craig Bran?
- 4 A. We are not represented by him.
- 5 Q. Okay. But at one time you were represented by
- 6 | Bran, your litigations against him with --
- 7 A. We had no --
- 8 | Q. -- when you --
- 9 A. -- litigation against him.
- 10 THE COURT: Ma'am. Ma'am, let him finish.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Oh, shoot. I'm sorry.
- 12 Go ahead.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Adams) You had litigation where he was
- 14 trying to get unemployment from you in Nevada?
- 15 A. Oh, not my wheelhouse. I can't answer anything
- 16 about that. Sorry, it's -- it was legal.
- 17 Q. Okay. Well, but you do understand that at some
- 18 point, you had -- that there was a battle going on about
- 19 | litigation -- about unemployment, right?
- 20 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. She
- 21 | answered that she doesn't know enough to testify as to the
- 22 | legalities of whether or not the company was dealing with
- 23 unemployment with Mr. Nelson.
- 24 THE COURT: The way I understand your question,
- 25 Mr. Adams, is you're asking her if she knew that there was

litigation going on with respect to his unemployment? 1 2 MR. ADAMS: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, that's a yes or no 4 question. Do you know that? 5 THE WITNESS: I have a question. Can I ask it? THE COURT: No. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I mean, there was an 7 8 appeal. So, I guess -- does that mean litigation? I'm sorry. I don't know if that means litigation. 9 I knew there was an appeal, that's all I knew. Okay. Sorry, I 10 don't know about that. 11 (By Mr. Adams) So there's an individual that's on 12 the HeraSoft board --13 14 MR. ADAMS: Let me go about it in a different **15** direction. (By Mr. Adams) Referencing the statement about the 16 -- the dead whistle-blower. There's an individual that's 17 on your HeraSoft board by the name of Dale Takio, and he's 18 a special cyber projects and business development adviser. 19 Do you know who I'm speaking of? 20 21 He is not on the board. I do know who you're 22 speaking about. All right. So -- but he works for your company. 23 Q. He's a special cyber projects and business development 24 25 adviser?

- 1 A. No, he does not work for our company anymore. And
- 2 he never did. He was a consultant.
- 3 | Q. Ma'am, do you list him on your -- has he -- is he
- 4 | now or has he ever been listed on your website as -- under
- 5 | team, meet our leadership team, on the HeraSoft website?
- 6 A. I can't answer that. You'd have to ask marketing.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. Sorry.
- 9 Q. Ma'am, would you recognize your herasoft.com
- 10 | website?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I approach and attempt to
- 13 refresh her recollection?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes.
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Please don't drop it.
- 16 A. I won't, I promise.
- 17 Q. Ma'am, I'm handing you my iPad, and do you
- 18 recognize what is pulled up on the Internet on that
- 19 | website --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- I mean, on the iPad?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And what is pulled up?
- 24 A. A company and four pictures.
- 25 Q. May I?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Does that refresh your recollection about whether
- 3 or not Mr. Takio was listed on your website?
- 4 A. He is listed as an adviser.
- 5 Q. Now, Mr. Takio, at one point, was facing criminal
- 6 charges and there was a whistle-blower involved in that
- 7 | case, right?
- 8 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Okay. You're saying you have no idea that the
- 10 | member --
- 11 A. I cannot answer anything about a case like that.
- 12 | I'm sorry, but I can't.
- 13 Q. You know nothing about that?
- 14 A. I don't know anything in detail about any of that.
- 15 | Q. Ma'am, do you know much about the people that you
- 16 have involved with your company?
- 17 A. He's not involved with our company. He's an
- 18 adviser, but -- I'm sorry, you're asking the wrong person.
- 19 Q. And the right person would be whom?
- 20 A. I've already said it. Either my husband or the
- 21 | legal -- in-house legal counsel, Paul Aubert.
- 22 Q. And this is a company that HeraSoft, we're speaking
- 23 to, that you are the co-founder/president of HeraSoft?
- 24 A. I am not the president.
- 25 Q. Have you ever been listed on your website --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. When did you stop becoming the -- being the
- 3 president?
- 4 A. Months ago.
- 5 Q. How old is the company?
- 6 A. It was incorporated in January of 2020, after
- 7 Mr. Nelson was fired.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. By about ten months.
- 10 Q. How many companies do you guys have?
- 11 A. We have active now, I believe, four. They're
- 12 | subsidiaries, just like Amazon. There's a lot of
- 13 subsidiaries in corporations.
- 14 Q. In the last ten years, how many companies have you
- 15 | guys had?
- 16 A. About four there in -- five. Hold on. I don't
- 17 | want to -- six counting the LLC that the old courthouse is
- 18 under.
- 19 Q. At one point, you had a company that was registered
- 20 in Las Vegas?
- MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor, not
- 22 relevant to why we're here today.
- THE WITNESS: None of it is.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, you told us that you live in

- 1 Oklahoma?
- 2 A. I do. I do.
- 3 | Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. Isn't it true that you and your husband completed
- 6 | paperwork to live in Puerto Rico so that you could get a
- 7 | tax benefit?
- 8 A. We did.
- 9 Q. And when -- when did you --
- 10 A. That was 2018.
- 11 Q. Yeah. So you're saying you left Puerto Rico in
- 12 | 2018?
- 13 A. There is -- I have to think about the dates.
- 14 Whenever the Hurricane Maria happened, it was right after
- 15 that -- the January after that, that we got accepted in
- 16 | this program called -- I forgot the name of it, but you
- 17 | could apply, and if they accepted you, then you had to
- 18 | live in Puerto Rico six months and three days, but you got
- 19 | tax benefits from doing that. And we never did live there
- 20 the full time. We left after ten months.
- 21 Yeah. It was '18. It was '18.
- Q. Now, ma'am, this HERC token that you guys had, you
- 23 guys were selling that for 40 cents apiece?
- 24 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. She has
- answered a lot of questions about this company, how it

works, and as far as the HERC tokens have come up multiple 1 2 times and she has answered that she does not have the 3 knowledge base to testify to the ins and outs of how that 4 works. 5 MR. ADAMS: I -- she hasn't been asked this 6 specific question. THE COURT: I understand, but how is that 7 relevant as to how -- how -- what those were sold for? 8 9 How's that relevant to what we're here for? MR. ADAMS: Because she's -- because that's 10 what's in Defendant's Exhibit Number 1, is about the HERC 11 12 token and that they're creating a market, and that this whole Ponzi scheme thing, that's what it's about. That's 13 14 why it's bias motive to lie of why they're doing this to Mr. Nelson is because they're trying to stop the fact that 15 they were involved in criminal activity from this pump and 16 17 dump. THE COURT: But she -- and back to Ms. Gullett's 18 She has testified that she doesn't know the ins 19 point. 20 and out of this HERC token. MR. ADAMS: I don't know what she's going to 21 22 say. This is the first time I've asked her these 23 questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Ask her the question again. (By Mr. Adams) Ma'am, do you know what they -- what Q.

24

- 1 | that sold for?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you know what the value is of it now?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Are you still -- do you have any of your companies
- 6 | still selling this token?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. And this is -- and now the company that you have --
- 9 | you guys are into cybersecurity?
- MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor; it's not
- 11 | relevant.
- THE COURT: It's not relevant. And, Mr. Adams,
- 13 you keep referring to your company, this company, the
- 14 company. I'm not sure which company you're referring to.
- 15 MR. ADAMS: HeraSoft.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Adams) That's cybersecurity, is it not?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that's the company that you received tax
- 19 | incentives to bring to Bartlesville?
- 20 A. We didn't receive any tax -- oh, tax incentives.
- 21 | We haven't received any monies from that, but, yes, if
- 22 | that's what you're asking.
- 23 Q. That's what those articles are about, right?
- 24 A. Yes. The --
- 25 Q. And it was after Mr. Nelson sent the message to the

- 1 | city attorney or to the Bartlesville Development Agency
- 2 and was contacting people here in Washington County to
- 3 | tell them what he knew -- or what he believed about your
- 4 background, that that's when you guys pushed this and the
- 5 | Bartlesville -- filed the police report?
- 6 A. Sir, I got a certified letter with threats and
- 7 | false accusations accusing me of ridiculous, scary things
- 8 | at the building that we had purchased, who we had tenants
- 9 in, and this was after years and years of harassment,
- 10 thousands of pages of e-mails and texts and long-winded
- 11 voicemails to say to not only me, but anybody that was
- 12 associated with me, that's why I went and got a police
- 13 report and that's why I went to Ray of Hope, because I was
- 14 | scared. We didn't know where he was at that point. When
- 15 | I found out --
- 16 Q. Ma'am.
- 17 A. -- three days later --
- 18 I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 Q. You haven't answered the question.
- 20 A. I don't understand it then.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Let me try to make it really simple.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. All this stuff that you just explained, you didn't
- 24 | really push to get Mr. Nelson charged until he reached out
- 25 to the City of Bartlesville to tell them things about you

- 1 | that you didn't want them to know?
- 2 A. No. I did a police report the day that I received
- 3 | the certificate -- the certified mail. No. That was --
- 4 | that was the day that I went to the police department.
- 5 | Q. And when did you receive the certified mail?
- 6 A. August 26th.
- 7 Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Nelson send an e-mail to
- 8 | the Bartlesville Development Program?
- 9 A. I believe it was the 26th.
- 10 Q. So after the 26th is when you went to the police
- 11 | department and started pushing --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. -- just to get --
- 14 A. I went to the police department on the 26th in the
- 15 afternoon after I received the certified mail. I have
- 16 testified before that the e-mail that went to the city
- 17 | manager and his assistant happened the same day, but I
- 18 didn't know about it until the next Monday because -- I
- don't know if it was David Woods -- somebody from the City
- 20 | sent it to one of our employees who's in a different
- 21 office. I didn't know that had been sent until Monday
- 22 morning when I walked into his office and he told me. So
- 23 | I think I've already said that once, but I'm more than
- 24 happy to clarify that.

Q. And you agree that Mr. Nelson had never been here

- 1 | in Oklahoma until he got charged, as far as you know?
- 2 A. As far as I know, he's never been here until he
- 3 | was, but --
- 4 Q. Now, on direct examination, you made some comment
- 5 | about him not having children.
- 6 A. I was asked, and I said I did not believe he did.
- 7 Q. Okay. How would you know?
- 8 A. That's what I said, I don't know. I don't believe
- 9 he does, but he -- I don't know. I believe I said that.
- 10 Q. Did you -- have you been sending out things other
- 11 | than what we've already discussed -- or your company, to
- 12 your knowledge, been sending out things, other than what
- 13 | we've already discussed, that would hurt Mr. Nelson's
- 14 reputation in his work community?
- 15 | A. You lost me. What are you trying to ask?
- 16 Q. Okay. Other than what we've already discussed,
- 17 | like the letters and the temporary restraining order --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- have you or people -- to your knowledge, have
- 20 you or people associated with your company been sending
- 21 out things that would hurt Mr. Nelson's reputation in his
- 22 | work community?

- 23 A. You would have to ask either the media specialist
- 24 or my husband or my marketing director.
 - Q. Do you think it would be wrong --

- A. Not my marketing, the company's marketing director.

 Apologies.
- Q. Would it be wrong to try to hurt his prospects of moving on with his life?

5 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor. It's argumentative.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. ADAMS: No further questions.

THE COURT: Ms. Gullett, you may redirect.

MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GULLETT:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. All right. Ms. Blanchard, there's a lot questions asked. And I'm going to try to go through some questions, something to kind of clarify a few things that were said as quickly as I can.

So there was conversation about a police report that was filed with the City of Bartlesville in March of 2019. Do you recall filing that police report?

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Why'd you file that police report?
- 22 A. Because, as I've mentioned a few times, he was
 23 sending hundreds of pages of harassing e-mails, texts. It
 24 was all coming in, and it was getting scary. We didn't
- 25 know where he was. And I -- we have an assistant who was

- 1 | afraid to be in the office by herself, and I thought we
- 2 | needed to report it.
- 3 Q. So in March of 2019, you were not living in
- 4 | Bartlesville?
- 5 A. No, We were not.
- 6 Q. So why did you reach out to the Bartlesville Police
- 7 | Department?
- 8 A. Because we had an admin office here.
- 9 Q. Did you only file a police report to build up or
- 10 buffer up your protective order case in California?
- 11 A. No. No.
- 12 | Q. Okay. I don't want to be callous because I know
- 13 | we're in a cost-of-living crisis. But if a corporation
- 14 | such as ones that you've talked about, like Anthem Vault
- and all these different companies, is sued for a hundred
- 16 thousand dollars in Texas, is that a significant amount of
- 17 money?
- 18 A. No. And --
- 19 | Q. And, again, in the Cayman Islands, you talked about
- 20 | there was a \$19,000 settlement.
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. Again, we're talking about a corporation.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. Is a \$19,000 settlement for a corporation a
- 25 | significant amount of money?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. There's been a lot of conversation back and forth
- 3 | about what your role has been at these different
- 4 | companies, but also at HeraSoft. And I know you've talked
- 5 about subsidiaries. So at HeraSoft, you were originally
- 6 | the president?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And you're not right now?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Will you please briefly explain the
- 11 | difference between a president of a company and a chief
- 12 executive officer? What are the differences in what a
- 13 president does and a chief executive officer?
- 14 A. I can only speak for our company. The CEO is the
- 15 | visionary. He is the face of the company. He -- he
- 16 drives the company and helps the path of the company
- 17 expand or shift when necessary.
- 18 Q. So what does the president do?
- 19 A. In our company, the president was me, and I worked
- 20 really hard to make sure that communication was as good as
- 21 | it could be. I did accompany my husband to conferences,
- 22 and I didn't like speaking on stage, but on occasion, I
- 23 did. I made sure that the team had interaction with each
- 24 other. I just made sure that things could go as smoothly,
- 25 communication-wise, as possible. And I also managed the

- 1 | old courthouse.
- 2 | Q. Okay. So you're saying that -- when you said
- 3 | "communication," are you meaning communication inside the
- 4 | company or outside the company?
- 5 A. Inside the company.
- 6 | Q. All right. So when we're talking about big
- 7 decisions made by HeraSoft because that's who's here.
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. And I don't want you to think that I'm belittling
- 10 | you at all. I don't mean that.
- 11 A. That's okay.
- 12 | Q. But when we're talking about large corporate
- 13 decisions, who makes those decisions; the president or the
- 14 | CEO?
- 15 A. The CEO.
- 16 Q. So when you're asked all of these questions of how
- 17 does this company work, how does HeraSoft work, how does
- 18 | Anthem Vault work, Anthem Gold work, how does all these
- 19 different companies work, when you're asked that question
- 20 | and your answer is, I don't know; you have to ask
- 21 | elsewhere --
- 22 | A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. -- are you saying that to be facetious?
- 24 A. No. I was never involved in the legal department,
- 25 | the accounting department, the -- I'm nervous, I'm

- 1 | blanking -- the development department. I was never
- 2 involved with those departments. A lot of those questions
- 3 | had to do with those departments, and --
- 4 Q. All right. So another question that you were asked
- 5 was about you reaching out to Bartlesville Police
- 6 | Department and wanting to get Mr. Nelson in trouble. And
- 7 | seeing how that's been asked, what other agencies have you
- 8 | reached out to?
- 9 A. The FBI.
- 10 Q. Any others?
- 11 A. Well, the Santa Monica Police Department, years
- 12 ago.
- 13 Q. And were you successful in getting the FBI to
- 14 | assist you?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. And, Ms. Blanchard, we have been
- 17 talking about a Michael Eric Nelson this morning and this
- 18 afternoon. Do you see him in the courtroom today?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Could you please identify where he's sitting and
- 21 | what he's wearing?
- 22 A. He's sitting directly to your right and he's
- wearing a gray sports jacket and a striped -- blue,
- 24 | striped tie.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, I'd ask the record to reflect the identification of the defendant, Michael Eric Nelson, by this witness.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that she has identified the defendant in this case.

MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, with that, the State would pass this witness.

THE COURT: Recross, Mr. Adams?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ADAMS

Q. Ms. Blanchard, I want to make sure that nobody gets confused here.

You are telling us that you went to the FBI. The FBI has taken no action or anybody from the Federal Government, against Mr. Nelson to your knowledge, have they?

- A. I believe they might have in 2019, but I can't say with conviction.
- Q. He's never been arrested, never been charged, never been nothing. The first time he was arrested is when he was arrested because of your accusations; isn't that true?

THE COURT: If you know, ma'am. If you know the answer to say that question.

- A. I don't know for sure if he's been arrested before.
- Q. (By Mr. Adams) Well, he worked for you for seven

1 years, right? 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. Used to be on your board of directors? 4 Α. For a very short period of time. I had nothing to do with that, by the way. 5 6 MR. ADAMS: No further questions. 7 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step 8 down. Thank you. 9 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Ms. Gullett, you may call your next 10 11 witness. 12 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, at this time the State 13 would call Denise Kramer. THE COURT: Ma'am, if you'd raise your right 14 15 hand, please. Swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 16 nothing but the truth, so help me God? 17 18 THE WITNESS: I do. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. If you'll 20 have a seat in this chair. 21 THE WITNESS: Okav. THE COURT: Pull that microphone up close enough 22 to your face so that you can speak directly into it. 23 I would just simply remind you also that the court 24

25

reporter has to take down everything that's being said, so

1 if you'll speak loudly enough and clearly enough so that 2 she can understand you, and allow Ms. Gullett or Mr. Adams 3 to ask you the question and finish it before you begin to 4 talk. Okay? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Please state your name and spell 7 your last name. 8 THE WITNESS: Denise Kramer, K-R-A-M-E-R. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Gullett, you may inquire. 10 MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge. WHEREUPON, DENISE KRAMER, having been duly sworn to 11 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 12 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 13 14 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 15 BY MS. GULLETT: 16 Kramer, how are employed? Q. Ms. I am currently employed by the Oklahoma State 17 Bureau of Investigation as a computer forensic examiner in 18 the Internet Crimes Against Children's unit. 19 Okay. And how long have you been employed with the 20 0. 21 OSBI?

the capacity of a forensic examiner for the past eight

22

23

24

25

Α.

years.

I have been employed with OSBI for 21 years, but in

- 1 | mean with the computers and the phones and all that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. OKay. So that's been for eight years?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what kind of training and experience --
- Well, what kind of training do you have that certifies you to be able to do this job?
- 7 certifies you to be able to do this job?
- 8 A. I am a certified forensic computer examiner with
- 9 | the International Association of Computer Investigator
- 10 | Specialists. I am also a Cellebrite certified logical
- 11 operator and a Cellebrite certified physical analyst.
- 12 Q. And what kind of education do you have?
- 13 A. I have a Bachelor's degree from the University of
- 14 Oklahoma in sociology and criminal knowledge.
- 15 Q. And as far as -- do you go to, like, yearly
- 16 | trainings or do you have to stay up to date on your
- 17 | training, or anything like that?
- 18 A. Yes, we do. I have a recertification every three
- 19 | years to stay current on my certification, and I have
- 20 attended over 300 hours of computer and cell phone
- 21 training hours.
- 22 | Q. And are you up to date on your certification?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. So you mentioned a lot of different
- 25 | things, so I'm going to try to break it down in a nutshell

- 1 | for the jury and myself. What do you do?
- 2 A. I examine digital evidence devices. So that can be
- 3 | from cell phones to tablets to computers and the hard
- 4 drives that are contained within those.
- 5 Q. And how do you go about typically getting these
- 6 hard drives to take a look at them?
- 7 A. So, on computers it will be submitted by a
- 8 | requesting agency, and I will remove the hard drive and
- 9 then examine those using our forensic software and our
- 10 | forensic tools.
- 11 | Q. And when you talk about different digital evidence,
- 12 | have you had an opportunity to examine digital evidence
- 13 | from cell phones?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And as far as a cell phone that we typically carry
- 16 | in our pocket today, what type of technology is inside
- 17 | that cell phone that you're able to look at?
- 18 A. Many cell phones are -- have Internet capabilities,
- 19 | so we're able to look at e-mails, browsing history through
- 20 the Internet, text messages, pictures, videos, any kind of
- 21 application that is downloaded on the phone.
- 22 Q. All right. Are you familiar with receiving items
- 23 associated with a Michael Eric Nelson?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And do you recall what types of items you

- 1 | received associated with that name?
- 2 A. I received two iPhones.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you remember what version of iPhones
- 4 | those were?
- 5 A. There was one iPhone 7, I believe, and an iPhone 8
- 6 Plus.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And do you recall which agency submitted
- 8 | those to the OSBI?
- 9 A. Bartlesville PD.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did you have an opportunity to take a
- 11 | look at those items?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 | Q. All right. And I apologize, I've skipped over a
- 14 | very important part.
- so we talked about you get the item, you look at
- 16 the hard drive, talked about cell phones, how do you take
- 17 | a look at these items? What do you use to look at a hard
- 18 drive on a phone?
- 19 A. So for cell phones, basically, when I get them, I
- 20 remove the SIM card so that it prevents the cell phone
- 21 | from reaching and -- a signal so that they may not be
- 22 remote wiped or data be overwritten on the devices. I
- 23 | will put the devices in what we call a Faraday Box, and
- 24 | put them in airplane mode, turn wifi off, turn Bluetooth
- off if it's on. And then once that is done, I will

- 1 | connect it to our forensic machine and I will run our
- 2 | forensic software, which in this case would be our
- 3 | Cellebrite software to perform an extraction of data off
- 4 of the device.
- 5 Q. Okay. And are you trained on how to use this
- 6 | Cellebrite software?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Thank you for that. Sorry for skipping
- 9 | over that important step.
- 10 Okay. So back to these phones that you received.
- 11 | were you able to take a look at them, hook them up to the
- 12 | forensic software -- or forensic machine, and perform the
- 13 | Cellebrite software?
- 14 A. Yes, I was.
- 15 Q. Okay. Starting with the iPhone 7, what did you do?
- 16 \mid A. The -- I have my report. May I refer to that?
- 17 Q. Absolutely.
- MS. GULLETT: May she, Judge? Seeing how she's
- 19 an expert in this field, I would ask that she be able to
- 20 refer to her report during her testimony.
- THE COURT: Mr. Adams, do you have any comment?
- MR. ADAMS: I have no objection to her referring
- 23 | to it.
- THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am, you may.
- 25 MS. GULLETT: Thank you.

- 1 A. On the iPhone -- it was actually an iPhone 7 Plus.
- 2 | I was able to perform a partial file system extraction
- 3 because the device was locked with a four-digit pin code.
- Q. (By Ms. Gullett) Okay. So when you -- sorry. I'm trying to think of all the right ways to ask.
- So when you say that it was locked with a four-digit pin code, were you able to unlock that phone?
- 8 A. I was not able to unlock this device. It was not 9 supported for our pin code determination.
- 10 Q. Okay. Were you able to get some information off of this phone?
- 12 A. Yes, I was.
- 13 Q. All right. How did you do that?
- 14 A. When I connected it to our forensic software, it
- 15 was able to pull up a summary of the device. It was able
- 16 to tell me what type of iPhone it was, the version of the
- 17 operating system that the iPhone was running, and
- 18 | identified some e-mail addresses associated with the
- 19 device. It was supported for extraction, so I was able to
- 20 perform a partial file system extraction on the device.
- 21 Q. Okay. And what e-mail addresses did you look at on
- 22 this iPhone 7 Plus?
- 23 A. It identified two e-mail addresses;
- 24 | michaelericnelson@iCloud.com and nelsontelco@gmail.com.
- 25 Q. All right. Thank you.

- 1 And did you have an opportunity to examine the
- 2 | Apple iPhone 8 Plus?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 Q. All right. And was that phone locked?
- 5 A. It was.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what did you end up doing with that
- 7 iPhone?
- 8 A. That particular iPhone was supported for our pin
- 9 code determination, so I initiated that, and it was able
- 10 to determine the pin code. At that point, I was able to
- 11 | perform a full file system extraction on that device,
- 12 having that known pin code.
- 13 Q. And on this phone, did you locate any e-mail
- 14 addresses associated with this iPhone 8 Plus?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 | Q. And what e-mail addresses did you locate on that
- 17 phone?
- 18 A. Michaelericnelson@iCloud.com,
- 19 | nelsontelco@gmail.com, and padrimiquel@iCloud.com.
- 20 Q. If you don't mind, will you spell that last one for
- 21 the court reporter.
- 22 A. P-A-D-R-I-M-I-Q-U-E-L.
- 23 Q. Thank you, ma'am.
- 24 And so after you have performed these examinations
- of the phone, the Cellebrite and such, what happens with

- 1 | all this data?
- 2 A. I take the extractions and I parse it using a
- 3 | different software tool through Cellebrite, and I'm able
- 4 to generate a report which then I copy over to a thumb
- 5 | drive and give back to the requesting agency for further
- 6 review.
- 7 Q. So did you at any time search through these phones
- 8 | for any specific information?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Did you -- do you write a report while you're doing
- 11 | this?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Okay. And what did you do with those reports?
- 14 A. They are disseminated to the requesting agency.
- 15 Q. Okay. And in this situation, did you write one or
- 16 | more reports?
- 17 A. I wrote two reports. The first report contained
- 18 the iPhone 7 Plus and the 8 Plus, before the pin code was
- 19 determined. Once the pin code was determined for the
- 20 | iPhone 8 Plus and I performed those additional
- 21 extractions, I then generated a second report for that
- 22 device only.

- MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, if I may approach?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
 - | Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I am going to hand you what is

- 1 marked as State's Exhibit Number 7. Do you recognize that
- 2 | document?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 | Q. All right. And is that a true and accurate
- 5 depiction of a document you're familiar with?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 | Q. All right. Can you tell me what that is?
- 8 A. This is my forensic examination report.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what report number is that?
- 10 A. Report Number 1.
- 11 Q. And what case number is that?
- 12 | A. It is OSBI Lab Number 2022-001177.
- 13 | Q. And who is it pertaining -- who's the subject?
- 14 A. The subject is Michael Eric Nelson.
- 15 Q. And then who is the department you are reporting it
- 16 | to?
- 17 A. It's reported to Bartlesville Police Department.
- 18 Q. All right. Thank you, ma'am.
- MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, the State would move
- 20 to admit State's Exhibit 7.
- 21 MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- THE COURT: State's Number 7 shall be admitted.
- 23 MS. GULLETT: Thank you.
- 24 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you State's
- 25 Exhibit Number 8. And do you recognize that document?

- 1 | A. I do.
- 2 | Q. And is that a true and accurate depiction of a
- 3 document that you are familiar with?
- 4 A. It is.
- 5 Q. All right. Would you please describe what that
- 6 document is.
- 7 A. This is my forensic examination report, Report
- 8 Number 2.
- 9 Q. All right. And what's the OSBI case file number on
- 10 | there?
- 11 A. It is OSBI Lab Number 2022-001177.
- 12 Q. And who is the subject?
- 13 A. Michael Eric Nelson.
- 14 Q. And who is the agency that you would be reporting
- 15 | this back to?
- 16 A. Bartlesville Police Department.
- 17 Q. All right. And I would ask -- so you said on --
- MS. GULLETT: Oh, sorry, Your Honor. State
- 19 | would move to admit State's Exhibit 8.
- 20 MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- THE COURT: State's Number 8 shall be admitted.
- 22 MS. GULLETT: Thank you.
- 23 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) So you said that you were able to
- 24 | perform a full extraction of the iPhone 8 Plus?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Okay. And then you created a thumb drive? Q. 2 That is correct. Α.
- 3 Did you label that thumb drive with a specific Q.
- 4 label?

- I did. 5 Α.
- What did you call that thumb drive? 6 Q.
- 7 That particular thumb drive would be -- the packaging would be labeled with the lab number and the 8 9 item number, which was Item Number 4.
- 10 MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, can we approach? 11 (Whereupon, a bench conference was had outside the hearing of the jury. This 12 bench conference was not a requested part of 13 this transcript.) 14
 - MS. GULLETT: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. GULLETT: Okay. Thank you. **17**
- (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you what I've 18 marked as -- it's going to be Court's Exhibit 1, so I'm 19 20 going to hand you that.
- Do you recognize the packaging and what I've handed 21 22 you?
- 23 Α. I do.
- Okay. If you'll look inside the packaging, will 24 you -- do you recognize what's in there?

- 1 A. This is the thumb drive that I produced that
- 2 | contains my exhibit report.
- 3 Q. Okay. On the outside of that, is there a label?
- 4 A. Yes, there is.
- 5 Q. And what does that label entail?
- 6 A. The label contains our lab number and the item
- 7 | number, and my initials and date.
- 8 Q. Okay. So the lab number on there, just for -- to
- 9 keep the record clean, is what?
- 10 A. 2022-001177.
- 11 Q. Okay. And the -- sorry.
- 12 What was the other number? Oh, the -- your
- 13 | initials are on there. What was the other thing that was
- 14 on there? Sorry.
- 15 A. The item number.
- 16 Q. Yes, what is the item number that's on there?
- 17 A. Item Number 4.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then your initials. And what date is on
- 19 | there?
- 20 A. March 30th of 2022.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And why would you have dated it on that day?
- 22 A. That's the date that I placed the thumb drive into
- 23 | the package and which I sealed the package with my
- 24 | initials and date.
- 25 Q. All right. Thank you very much.

2

3

MS. GULLETT: All right. And, Your Honor, with that and the admission -- or with that, the State would pass this witness.

4

THE COURT: Mr. Adams, you may cross-examine the witness.

5

MR. ADAMS: All right.

7

19

Α.

6

CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 | BY MR. ADAMS:

- 9 Q. All right, ma'am. This report that you -- that
 10 you've got, it's, like, 96,000 pages, isn't it?
- 11 A. I would estimate that to be correct, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, the iPhone 8 carries a minimum gig of 13 64 gig; would you agree with that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. But on your jump drive that you got there, 16 you only got, like, 10 other 12 gig, thereabouts. Why, 17 when you do your phone report, is there so much less data
- 18 that's collected than what's actually on the phone?
- phone. It also condenses the information, so it could be

It depends on how much data is actually on the

- 21 possible that the phone was not full. So if you have a
- 22 | 64-gig cell phone and there's only 12 gig of data on the
- 23 | device, then, obviously, my report is not going to be
- 24 64-gigs. It also condenses it down by producing a PDF.
- 25 And, in addition to that, our Cellebrite software, our

- 1 | forensic software, is only able to get what information
- 2 | that our forensic software has -- is able to get. So I
- 3 | can't verify that it has extracted all data off of the
- 4 device.
- 5 | Q. Okay. So it's not an exact copy of the phone?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And oftentimes stuff is left out?
- 8 | A. I would say more than likely, yes.
- 9 Q. More than likely, okay.
- 10 Is it a case where you're, like, making a decision
- 11 of on this case I want this and on this case I want that,
- 12 or is it just, like, a standard protocol, we get what we
- 13 | get and this is what we get?
- 14 A. It's a standard procedure, we get it -- we extract
- 15 | all data possible. And that's why you will see on my
- 16 report there are multiple extractions, so that I can
- 17 ensure that I was able extract the most amount of data
- 18 possible.
- 19 O. Okay. So there may be things that are missing from
- 20 your extraction, things that were on the phone that didn't
- 21 make it into your extraction?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. But everything in your extraction came from the
- 24 phone?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now, you talked about different e-mails on the
- 2 iPhone 8?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, one of them was padrimiguel?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 | Q. Okay. And is it -- now, that -- do you recognize
- 7 | that to be Spanish?
- 8 A. I would assume so, but I -- I can't verify that it
- 9 | is in Spanish.
- 10 | Q. Do you recognize that Miguel is also a Spanish
- 11 | version for Michael?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And when you're going through the phone, did you
- 14 | see documents that led you to conclude that Mr. Nelson was
- 15 | living in Spain?
- 16 A. I did not actually go through the data that was
- 17 extracted. I perform the extraction and generated a
- 18 report in which I copied to the thumb drive for the
- 19 requester to further go through.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So it would come back to the Bartlesville --
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. Were either of these devices that you had damaged?
- 23 A. I -- yes.
- 24 Q. Which one?
- 25 A. The 7 Plus, the screen was cracked and -- I had to

- 1 refer to the other portion of the report to determine. On
- 2 | the 8 Plus, there was no damage noted.
- 3 Q. Now, there's cracked and then there's, you know,
- 4 cracked. I mean, was it, like, shattered?
- 5 A. Typically, if it's shattered, I will indicate that.
- 6 | So just on the basis of my notes indicating that the
- 7 | screen was cracked, I -- I don't recall without seeing the
- 8 | device, but I -- I -- I don't think it would have been
- 9 | shattered.
- 10 \mid Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that, based on your
- 11 | standard practice, you would have listed if it was
- 12 | shattered, and since you didn't list it, you would think
- 13 | that it probably wasn't shattered?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. But that because you listed that it was cracked,
- 16 | that you know it had some damage?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- MR. ADAMS: If I could have just one moment?
- 19 No further questions.
- THE COURT: Ms. Gullett, do you have any
- 21 | redirect?
- MS. GULLETT: No, thank you, Judge.
- THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down
- 24 and you may be excused as well.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: We're going to take a short break. 2 Ladies and gentlemen, it's not quite a quarter to three, so we'll take a break until three o'clock. 3 4 Once again, I'm going to remind you not to discuss the case amongst yourselves while you're here. You 5 certainly may walk outside if you choose to walk outside, 6 use the restrooms, whatever you want to do, but if you'll 7 be back in the jury room and ready to go by three o'clock. 8 9 Thank you. 10 Take a short break. 11 (whereupon, a short recess was taken. 12 Thereafter, proceedings continued as follows:) 13 THE COURT: Okay. We are now back on the record after a short break. The State of Oklahoma versus Michael 14 Eric Nelson. Everybody is back and has announced that 15 they are ready. 16 17 So, Madam Bailiff, would you please direct the 18 jurors to their place in the jury box. 19 The State may call its next witness. 20 MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge. 21 At this time, the State would call Craig Piercy. 22 THE COURT: Sir, if you'd raise your right hand, 23 please? 24 Swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

nothing but the truth, so help me God?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. If you'll have a seat there in the witness chair. Pull that microphone up close enough to your mouth that you can speak directly into it. I would just ask you to remember that the court reporter is trying to take down everything that's being said, so if you'll speak loudly enough and clearly enough that she can hear you, she would much appreciate it.

Plus, if you would wait until the State or Adams finishes their question before you begin to answer so you're not talking over one another. We kind of tend to do that sometimes, so -- and I may have to remind you during the course of your testimony, but don't worry, I think I have to remind everybody.

> THE WITNESS: Okav.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You may inquire.

MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge.

WHEREUPON, CRAIG PIERCY, having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GULLETT:

0. Mr. Piercy, will you please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

- 1 A. Craig Piercy. P-I-E-R-C-Y.
- 2 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 3 Mr. Piercy, are you from Oklahoma?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. All right. Where do you reside at?
- 6 A. Salina, Kansas.
- 7 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 8 And, Mr. Piercy, are you familiar with Cynthia and
- 9 | Anthem Blanchard?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How are you familiar with the Blanchards?
- 12 A. I invested in one of their companies.
- 13 Q. Okay. And which company is that?
- 14 A. HeraSoft.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Which one?
- 16 A. HeraSoft.
- 17 | Q. And how long ago did you invest with HeraSoft, if
- 18 you know?
- 19 A. I don't know. Three -- two, three years ago. I
- 20 | don't know.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And do you know a man named Michael Eric
- 22 | Nelson?
- 23 A. I don't know him personally. I've -- he called me.
- 24 Q. Have you had any personal dealings with Mr. Nelson?
- 25 A. Just the phone call he made to me.

- 1 | Q. Okay. Have you had any business dealings with
- 2 | Mr. Nelson?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 | Q. All right. Other than this phone call you've
- 5 | mentioned, have you had any other contact with Mr. Nelson?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. All right. When did you hear from Michael Eric
- 8 | Nelson?
- 9 A. September, I believe it was.
- 10 | Q. Okay. You remember if it was at the beginning of
- 11 | September? Middle? End?
- 12 A. Probably beginning.
- 13 | Q. Okay. Do you remember, basically, what -- what was
- 14 | around that phone call?
- THE COURT: Ms. Gullett, if you would have him
- 16 | identify the year that he's speaking of.
- 17 MS. GULLETT: I'm sorry, Judge, that's very
- 18 | true. We have been talking about a lot of years. Thank
- 19 | you.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) What year did you receive that
- 21 | phone call? You said the beginning of September?
- 22 A. 2022.
- 23 Q. 2022. Thank you.
- 24 All right. So this phone call that you received,
- 25 do you -- I guess, first of all, to which phone was that?

- 1 A. To my cell phone.
- Q. Okay. And is that a business phone or a personal
- 3 | phone?
- 4 A. It's the only phone I have. It's mainly business.
- 5 Q. Okay. All right. And what was this phone call
- 6 about?
- 7 | A. He just called and asked if I was Craig Piercy and
- 8 | if Melissa Piercy was my wife, and I said yes. And I
- 9 | said, who are you? And he introduced himself. And I --
- 10 he was getting into some stuff, and I was -- I had a
- 11 | meeting -- I think my boy had tennis lessons I had to get
- 12 | him to, so I told him, you know, could you just call me
- 13 the next day about nine o'clock in the morning.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you cut that phone call short?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. Did he call you the next day?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So what's the next day's phone call about?
- 19 A. He called me and he was -- let's see -- asked me
- 20 about if I believed I invested in HeraSoft and that there
- 21 | was -- like, Anthem Gold and Redneck Yacht Club, and all
- 22 | this other stuff, and I said, I believe I just invested in
- 23 HeraSoft. And he said, Well, did you know that it's a
- 24 | Ponzi scheme, that they're just trying to get money. And
- 25 | I'm, like, no, I didn't know that. And he goes, Well,

- 1 | there's multiple lawsuits. And I said, Well, if you have
- 2 | all these information, could you e-mail it to me, and I
- 3 | gave him my e-mail address and --
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. -- I never did receive anything.
- 6 He asked me about a friend of mine that's also
- 7 invested in it.
- 8 | Q. And who was that?
- 9 A. Kelly Dunn.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. And I just -- he asked me if Chad got him into
- 12 HeraSoft and I said, No, Kelly Dunn's a long friend of
- 13 | mine. We're actually both home builders and competitors,
- 14 but we're still friends and partners in other businesses.
- 15 And I said, I got him in there, I introduced him to Anthem
- 16 and Cynthia.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Let's break down what you said. You said
- 18 | that Mr. Nelson asked you if Chad got Kelly into HeraSoft,
- 19 | right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. All right. Who is Chad that you're talking about?
- 22 A. Chad Koehn.
- 23 Q. Okay. Do you know Chad?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. All right. How do you know him?

- 1 A. We're friends and I have some investments with him.
- 2 | Q. Okay. And you said Kelly Dunn is another
- 3 | individual you know?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And this was a name that Mr. Nelson named?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And as far as you're aware, Mr. Dunn, does
- 8 | he have dealings with the Blanchards?
- 9 A. Just invested in their company.
- 10 Q. Sorry. I heard you say that. It went right in one
- 11 | ear --
- 12 A. He asked about Mark Ritter, too.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And who is Mark Ritter?
- 14 A. He's a businessman in Salina. I don't -- I'm not
- 15 | friends with him or anything like that. I know who he is
- 16 | because he owned the Coors' distributorship in Salina, so
- 17 | I know.
- 18 Q. And as far as you're aware, does Mr. Ritter also
- 19 | know the Blanchards?
- 20 A. I would assume so. I assume they're invested into
- 21 HeraSoft.
- 22 Q. Okay. So you said that you provided Mr. Nelson
- 23 | with your e-mail address after he mentioned something
- 24 about Ponzi schemes, and you said you never received any
- 25 | information?

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 | Q. Are you aware of any issues as far as HeraSoft goes
- 3 as you as an investor?
- 4 A. No.
- Q. What did this -- it's kind of an awkward question.
- 6 | But what did this phone call feel like to you, to get
- 7 | called by someone you didn't know, to tell you this
- 8 | information about a company that you've put money into?
- 9 A. I didn't know what was going on. It was like -- I
- 10 believe I asked him if he was an investor, too, and he
- 11 | told me his family bought in for millions of dollars. And
- 12 | he -- I don't know. I'm, like, well, if you're trying to
- 13 downgrade this company and you're invested in it, why
- 14 | would you do that because you want them to succeed.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. Nelson explain to you how he got your phone
- 16 | number?
- 17 A. Yes. I asked him how he got my name and number, he
- 18 | said through SEC filings.
- 19 Q. Now, just to be clear, for the record, have ever,
- 20 at any time, provided your phone number to a Michael Eric
- 21 | Nelson?
- 22 A. No.
- MS. GULLETT: No further questions, Judge.
- 24 | Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Adams, you may cross-examine the

1 | witness.

2

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 3 BY MR. ADAMS
- 4 Q. Now, sir, did you meet Cynthia and Anthem Blanchard
- 5 | through Chad Koehn?
- 6 A. I met them through a meeting in Salina, Kansas, and
- 7 | they gave us bill, you know, trying to get investors in
- 8 | their companies, and I decided to invest.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was Koehn there?
- 10 A. He was at the first introduction.
- 11 Q. Yeah. So, and he actually introduced you to them?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. You are looking at Mr. Koehn, he's sitting
- 14 | in the audience, isn't he?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. He's a friend of yours?
- 17 A. Of course, he is.
- 18 Q. Yeah. And you've invested money with him?
- 19 A. With Chad?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. My 401(k), my retirement plan's with Chad.
- 22 Q. Yeah. What did you -- what -- what position did he
- 23 occupy when you invested that money? What was his title
- 24 and what would you consider him, like, a broker or
- 25 something like that, or investment advisor?

- 1 | A. When I invested money, I invested in a lot of
- 2 different companies. I mean --
- 3 | Q. I mean --
- 4 A. -- that's what I do.
- 5 Q. I'm talking specifically with Chad?
- 6 A. With Chad?
- 7 Q. Yeah.
- 8 A. I give him my money once a year for my simple IRA
- 9 | through my companies, and he invests it.
- 10 | Q. He's been suspend. He doesn't that anymore, does
- 11 | he?
- 12 A. I don't know. He's still got a business.
- 13 Q. You're not aware of him surrendering his license?
- 14 A. I heard about him surrendering his license, but
- 15 he's still got a business. Somebody else in his
- 16 | corporation has a license.
- 17 Q. Yeah. And are you aware that he surrendered his
- 18 | license because of his involvement with HeraSoft and
- 19 | Blanchards and the way that he raised the money violated
- 20 the ethics of his license?
- MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor --
- 22 A. I --
- 23 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 24 MS. GULLETT: Facts not in evidence. It's not
- 25 | been testified to.

- 1 | MR. ADAMS: I'm asking if he's aware of it.
- THE COURT: That assumes facts not in evidence.
- 3 | Sustained.
- 4 | Q. (By Mr. Adams) Are you aware of the reason that he
- 5 -- his license was suspended?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Are you a little bit curious about, Hey, why did
- 8 | the guy, who I trust to invest my money, lose his license?
- 9 THE COURT: How is that relevant, Mr. Adams?
- MR. ADAMS: It goes to his credibility. It goes
- 11 to his bias with his friendship towards Mr. Koehn.
- THE COURT: It -- whether or not he's curious
- 13 about it is not relevant to this case.
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Did you take any actions to find out
- 15 | why Mr. Koehn might have lost his license?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Mr. Nelson was talking with you about you -- taking
- 18 | your deposition for a lawsuit that he had that was ongoing
- 19 | with Mr. Koehn, wasn't he?
- 20 A. No. I don't know anything about a lawsuit going
- 21 on.
- 22 Q. You don't know that Mr. Koehn and Mr. Nelson are
- 23 | involved in a lawsuit?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. How good of friends are you with Mr. Koehn?

- 1 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor: 2 argumentative. 3 THE COURT: Argumentative and irrelevant. 4 Q. (By Mr. Adams) And how did Mr. Koehn find out --5 find out about this telephone call? I called and told him. 6 Α. 7 0. Okay. Do you know how the State of Oklahoma found 8 out about it? MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor, not 9 relevant. 10 11 THE COURT: Again, not relevant, so sustained. 12 Q. (By Mr. Adams) Would you want to know if you had 13 invested some money into a Ponzi scheme? 14 I don't believe it's a Ponzi scheme. Α. 15 0.
- Are you aware that one of the former board members associated with the Blanchards was indicted for a federal 16 17 Ponzi scheme?
- 18 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor; assumes 19 facts not in evidence.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 (By Mr. Adams) Have you done anything to see if you 0. 22 might have heard the truth from Mr. Nelson?
- THE COURT: How's that relevant to what we're 23 24 here for. Mr. Adams?
- 25 MR. ADAMS: I'll ask a different question.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 (By Mr. Adams) Are you so much friends with Mr. Q. 3 Koehn that no matter what somebody told you, that you wouldn't investigate? Is your friendship that strong? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Q. Are you so tight with the Blanchards that if somebody tried to give you a warning, that you wouldn't 7 investigate? 8 9 I don't know. I mean, they're not the only company Α. I've invested in. I've invested in many companies, so you 10 know, it's my risk. 11 12 MR. ADAMS: No further questions. 13 THE COURT: Redirect, Ms. Gullett? 14 Just briefly, Judge. MS. GULLETT: 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. GULLETT: 16 17 Mr. Piercy, the money that you've invested with the 0. 18 Blanchards, with HeraSoft, with this company, with Mr. 19 Koehn, is any amount of friendship more important to you than your own personal funds? 20 21 No, my money is more important to me. Α. 22 Thank you, sir. Q. 23 MS. GULLETT: No further questions. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Adams?

No questions.

MR. ADAMS:

1 Thank you, sir. You may step down THE COURT: and you may be excused as a witness in this case. 2 3 State may call its next witness. 4 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, at this time, the 5 State would call Elaine Banes. THE COURT: Ma'am, if you would raise your right 6 7 hand, please. 8 Swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and 9 nothing but the truth, so help me God? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. ma'am. THE COURT: Okay. If you'll have a seat in that 11 12 witness chair, please. Pull that microphone up close 13 enough to your mouth that you can speak directly into it. 14 THE WITNESS: Okav. 15 THE COURT: Speak clearly and loudly enough that 16 the court reporter can hear you. And also, if you would allow Ms. Gullett or Mr. Adams to complete their question 17 18 before you begin to answer, and then they'll let you 19 answer fully before they begin to speak, so that we're not talking over one another. It makes it really difficult 20 21 for the court reporter. 22 So if you'd please state your full name and 23 spell your last name, please. THE WITNESS: Elaine Banes. B-A-N-E-S. 24

You may inquire.

THE COURT:

165 1 MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge. WHEREUPON, ELAINE BANES, having been duly sworn to 2 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 3 4 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 5 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 6 BY MS. GULLETT: 7 Ms. Banes, how are you employed? 0. 8 Α. I'm an employee of the City of Bartlesville as an 9 executive assistant to the city manager. 10 Okay. And how long have you worked for the City of Q. Bartlesville? 11 12 Α. Twenty-two years. 13 How long have you worked for the city manager's Q. office? 14 **15** Twenty-one and a half. Α. 16 And who is the current city manager? Q. 17 Mike Bailey. Α. 18 And as part of your job, do you receive e-mails? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you have an e-mail address? Q. 21 Yes. Α. Is it a work e-mail address? 22 Q. 23 Α. It is. All right. And for the record, what is that e-mail 24 Q.

25

address?

- 1 A. R-E-B-A-N-E-S, @cityofbartlesville.org.
- 2 Q. And have you ever heard the name Michael Eric
- 3 Nelson?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And do you recall on what day you first heard that
- 6 name?
- 7 A. August 25th of '21.
- 8 Q. Okay. And is it possible that could have been
- 9 August 26th?
- 10 A. Yes. It was one of those.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you recall why you would have heard
- 12 | the name Michael Eric Nelson?
- 13 A. I received an e-mail from him requesting
- 14 | information.
- 15 Q. All right. And do you recall what type of
- 16 | information that e-mail was requesting?
- 17 A. He wanted to know how to reach the city attorney.
- 18 Q. And for the record, who is the city attorney?
- 19 A. Jess Kane.
- 20 | Q. And was Mr. Kane the attorney in August of 2021?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. All right. And when you saw this e-mail, did it
- 23 | concern you at all?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. All right. Was there -- what type of language was

- 1 | in this e-mail that you saw?
- 2 A. If I remember correctly, it was pretty
- 3 straightforward. I'd like to get the information on how
- 4 | to contact the city attorney.
- 5 | Q. What did you end up doing with this e-mail?
- 6 A. I forwarded it to the city attorney.
- 7 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, if I may approach.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you what's
- 10 marked as State's Exhibit 9. Ms. Banes, do you recognize
- 11 | that document?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. And is that a true and accurate
- 14 representation of a document that you have seen before?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. All right. And what is that document I've handed
- 17 | you?
- 18 A. It is an e-mail asking for the City of Bartlesville
- 19 attorney contact information.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And who is the e-mail from?
- 21 A. Michael Nelson.
- 22 Q. All right. And what's the e-mail address that it's
- 23 | from?
- 24 A. Michaelericnelson@iCloud.com.
- 25 Q. Okay. And who's the e-mail to?

- 1 A. To me, Elaine Banes.
- 2 | Q. And what's the e-mail address that it comes to?
- 3 A. Rebanes@cityof bartlesville.org.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 And what date was -- is on this e-mail?
- 6 A. August 26th.
- 7 Q. And what year?
- 8 A. 2021.
- 9 Q. And what time?
- 10 A. 7:28 a.m.
- 11 Q. All right. Thank you, ma'am.
- 12 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, I move to admit
- 13 | State's Exhibit 9.
- MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- THE COURT: State's Number 9 shall be admitted.
- 16 MS. GULLETT: And with that, Judge, the State
- 17 has no further questions.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Adams, you may cross-examine the
- 19 | witness.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. ADAMS
- 22 Q. Ma'am, if somebody had wanted to report something
- 23 | that they thought was inappropriate going on with the City
- 24 of Bartlesville, the city attorney would be somebody that
- 25 | they could do that to; is it not?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And have you got other e-mails in the past
- 3 | requesting to, you know, get the city attorney's e-mail
- 4 | for one reason or another?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And did you feel threatened by the e-mail?
- 7 A. No. sir.
- 8 Q. Did you feel harassed by the e-mail?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 | Q. And did it make you feel uncomfortable or unsafe in
- 11 | any way?
- 12 | A. No, sir.
- 13 MR. ADAMS: No further questions.
- MS. GULLETT: No, thank you, Judge.
- THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, you may step down and
- 16 | you may be excused as a witness in this case.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 The State may call its next witness.
- 20 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, at this time, the
- 21 | State would call Mike Bailey.
- THE COURT: Sir, if you'd raise your right hand,
- 23 please.
- Swear the testimony you're about to give is the
- 25 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help

1 me God? 2 THE WITNESS: I do. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 4 If you'll have a seat in the witness chair there. 5 THE WITNESS: Sure. 6 THE COURT: When you get seated, pull that 7 microphone up close enough to your face that you can speak directly into it. And then I would just ask that you 8 speak clearly and loudly enough that the court reporter 9 10 can hear you. 11 THE WITNESS: Okav. 12 THE COURT: If you would allow her to finish her 13 question before you begin to answer, and then she will do 14 the same. She'll let you finish before she begins again 1.5 so that we're not talking over one another. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Ms. Gullett --17 18 MS. GULLETT: Thank you, Judge. 19 THE COURT: -- you may inquire. 20 MS. GULLETT: Thank you. 21 WHEREUPON, MICHAEL LEE BAILEY, having been duly sworn 22 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 23 the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 24 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

25

BY MS. GULLETT:

- $1 \mid Q$. Mr. Bailey, will you please state your full name
- 2 | for the record and spell your last name.
- 3 A. Michael Lee Bailey.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. B-A-I-L-E-Y.
- 6 Q. All right. Thank you, sir.
- 7 And, Mr. Bailey, how are you employed?
- 8 A. I work for the City of Bartlesville.
- 9 | Q. Okay. And do you have a title?
- 10 A. I do. I'm the city manager.
- 11 | Q. All right. And how long have you been the city
- 12 | manager?
- 13 A. Officially, about five years now, I believe.
- 14 Q. Okay. And where do you work at?
- 15 A. So I work at city hall, just across the street.
- 16 Q. All right. And do you know an Elaine Banes?
- 17 A. I do. She is my executive assistant.
- 18 Q. And how long has Elaine worked for you?
- 19 A. She's worked for me ever since I've been there. In
- 20 | fact, she's been at the City longer than I have in that
- 21 position, so --
- 22 | Q. All right. So, Mr. Bailey, are you familiar with a
- 23 | Michael Eric Nelson?
- 24 A. A little bit, yes.
- 25 Q. All right. And why are you familiar with

- 1 Mr. Nelson?
- 2 A. Mr. Nelson had sent an e-mail to the City of
- Bartlesville, and -- it's been a while back -- but that's
- 4 | my -- extent of my experience with him.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall approximately what month,
- 6 | what year, he would have sent an e-mail to the City of
- 7 | Bartlesville?
- 8 A. I don't recall exactly. I do know that it was
- 9 | right after we had made an announcement about an economic
- 10 development assistance to HeraSoft, but I can't recall the
- 11 date.
- 12 Q. Okay. If I were to say August of 2021, does that
- 13 | sound about right?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am. Okay. Sorry.
- 15 | Q. It's very --
- 16 A. I thought it was working.
- 17 Q. It's very finicky, if you get a little bit too far
- 18 | away from it, it doesn't work.
- Okay. So when you said an e-mail was sent to the
- 20 | City of Bartlesville, what does that mean?
- 21 A. It was sent to me. And in the e-mail there were
- 22 | some indications that Mr. Nelson was indicating that the
- 23 | principals in HeraSoft had been involved in some -- some
- 24 | poor business practices, I suppose. I actually was out of
- 25 town and didn't -- didn't pay much attention to the e-mail

- $1 \mid at the time.$
- Q. Okay. And so you have a City e-mail address?
- 3 | A. I do.
- 4 | Q. All right. And is that for work?
- 5 A. Yes. ma'am.
- 6 Q. And for the record, what is that e-mail address?
- 7 A. It's mlbailey@cityofbartlesville.org.
- 8 Q. All right. And as far as this e-mail that you
- 9 received, did it come to that e-mail address?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am, it did.
- 11 Q. Okay. And are you aware of if Ms. Banes received
- 12 | an e-mail?
- 13 A. Yes, I believe she did. I was out of town, and so
- 14 when I didn't respond, they forwarded it to her instead.
- 15 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Cynthia and Anthem
- 16 | Blanchard?
- 17 | A. I am.
- 18 Q. All right. And how -- what's your familiarity with
- 19 them? What do you know about them?
- 20 A. I know that they own HeraSoft and have purchased
- 21 | the old county courthouse here in Bartlesville.
- 22 Q. Is that off of Frank Phillips?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And as far as the Blanchards go, when they
- 25 came to Bartlesville, were you -- you said there were some

- 1 | incentives or something about businesses coming here?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Are you aware of them being part of that?
- 4 A. Yes, they were. The Bartlesville Development
- 5 Authority extended an incentive to them for locating in
- 6 | Bartlesville.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And as the city manager, can you explain
- 8 | briefly kind of what the purpose of that extension would
- 9 be?
- 10 A. Sure. We do have a program. In fact, there's a
- 11 quarter cent economic development sale's tax that's
- 12 dedicated for economic development. The Bartlesville
- 13 Development Authority uses those funds to convince
- 14 businesses to come to Bartlesville that may have went
- 15 | elsewhere. So it's primarily job creation.
- 16 Q. Okay. So there's been some testimony about some
- 17 | allegations of nefarious dealings by the Blanchards. Are
- 18 you aware of any concerns that you have with the
- 19 | Blanchards?
- 20 | A. No, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any concerns that you have
- 22 as the city manager with the company HeraSoft?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- 24 Q. All right.
- 25 MS. GULLETT: Your Honor, if I may approach?

- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. (By Ms. Gullett) I'm going to hand you what I've
- 3 | marked as State's Exhibit 10.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. If you'll take a look at that.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 Q. Do you recognize what I've handed you?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. All right. And is that a true and accurate
- 10 representation of a document that you have seen before?
- 11 A. Yes, I believe it is.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what is it that I have handed you?
- 13 A. You have handed me an e-mail from Mr. Nelson to
- 14 myself.
- 15 Q. Okay. And can you tell the court reporter what
- 16 e-mail address Mr. Nelson e-mailed from?
- 17 A. He e-mailed from michaelericnelson@iCloud.com.
- 18 Q. And to your -- which e-mail of yours is on there?
- 19 | I know your name's just on there.
- 20 A. Right, but this would have been my work e-mail
- 21 address. So mlbailey@cityofbartlesville.org.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And does it have a date on it?
- 23 A. It does. And you're correct, it's August 25th of
- 24 | 2021.
- 25 Q. All right. Thank you, sir.

- 1 And do -- does it have a time on it?
- 2 A. It does, actually. It was received at 5:21 p.m.
- 3 | that day.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- MS. GULLETT: The State would move to admit State's Exhibit 10.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: State's Number 10 shall be admitted.
- 9 MS. GULLETT: Thank you. And with that, the
- 10 | State has no further questions, Judge.
- 11 THE COURT: Mr. Adams, you my cross-examine the
- 12 | witness.
- 13 <u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
- 14 BY MR. ADAMS:
- 15 Q. Now, sir, you said there's a quarter-cent sales
- 16 | tax.
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. So that's tax-payer money?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And so you -- the City of Bartlesville is giving
- 21 | tax-payer money to certain businesses?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And in hopes that those businesses will come
- 24 to the City of Bartlesville and create jobs for the
- 25 | citizens of Bartlesville?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. You're the city manager?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q. Is that like a mayor?
- 5 A. It's -- I'm actually -- I call -- I just call
- 6 | myself the operations director because we have a mayor as
- 7 | well.
- 8 Q. Oh. Okay. But you -- you have responsibility to
- 9 | the citizens of Bartlesville --
- 10 A. Yes. sir.
- 11 Q. -- to spend their money in a effective way?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- 13 Q. Not to waste it?
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. Okay. And you're -- are you friends with the
- 16 | Blanchards?
- 17 A. No. No. I'm familiar with them, but not friends
- 18 | with them.
- 19 Q. Been to any events with them?
- 20 A. No, sir. Actually, on -- well, they were at a
- 21 | Bartlesville monthly event two weeks ago that I saw them
- 22 there. But, no, I've never attended anything purposefully
- 23 to be with them.
- 24 Q. Do you guys run in the same circles?
- 25 A. Occasionally, I would say. But I think that's the

- 1 only time I can think of that we ended up at the same
- 2 | place.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, if somebody wanted to report something
- 4 | that they thought was nefarious, you might be one of the
- 5 people that they do it to, right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And you would want to know, right --
- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. -- if you were giving tax-payer funds to people
- 10 | that maybe you shouldn't be giving tax-payer funds to?
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so -- but you are -- you're -- did you
- do anything to investigate these -- these -- these
- 14 | allegations?
- 15 A. So the e-mail was forwarded to the Bartlesville
- 16 city attorney for his attention. And the Bartlesville
- 17 Development Authority would have performed the due
- 18 diligence on the company before the assistance was
- 19 provided.
- 20 Q. Okay. So just wasn't your area?
- 21 A. No. Ultimately, it's my area, but other experts
- 22 | would have carried out the actual operation.
- 23 Q. The actual operation?
- 24 A. Of investigating Mr. Nelson's claims, yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Some of these claims that he put in there could

- 1 | have been investigated through a Google search, right?
- 2 A. I suppose.
- Q. I mean, he told you -- he told you about a lawsuit
- 4 | where these people were getting sued down in Texas?
- 5 A. There were a lot of claims in there. I don't
- 6 | recall what they were.
- 7 Q. So you took no action to see if that was true?
- 8 A. Personally, no.
- 9 MS. GULLETT: Objection, Your Honor.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- 11 THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Gullett.
- MS. GULLETT: He answered that he did take
- 13 | action and that he sent it to the city attorney.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained. It's been asked and
- 15 answered.
- 16 Q. (By Mr. Adams) You personally took no action?
- MS. GULLETT. Objection. Again, Judge, it's
- 18 | facts not in evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: Well --
- 20 MS. GULLETT: He's misstating the testimony of
- 21 | this witness.
- 22 THE COURT: He stated that he forwarded it to
- 23 | the authorities he thought was proper, so he did take
- 24 action.
- 25 Q. (By Mr. Adams) After you forwarded this to the

- 1 people to look into this, did you follow back up with them
- 2 later to see if they had done their job or done anything?
- A. Again, the Bartlesville Development Authority did,
- 4 | yes.
- 5 Q. The Bartlesville Development Authority? Are they
- 6 | hired by the City of Bartlesville?
- 7 A. They're actually an authority of the City.
- 8 Q. Are they elected or what?
- 9 A. No, sir. Appointed.
- 10 | Q. They're appointed?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. And who appoints them?
- 13 A. They are -- the initial board is appointed by the
- 14 | counsel and then they appoint their own members. It's a
- 15 | five-member board.
- 16 | Q. Now, would it be embarrassing to the -- these
- 17 government officials if they had agreed to give money to
- 18 people that were not using it in -- or that maybe were not
- 19 deserving of the tax-payer funds? Would that be kind of
- 20 | embarrassing to you guys?
- 21 A. I wouldn't want to speak for anybody other than
- 22 myself.
- 23 Q. Well, would you be embarrassed?
- 24 A. I would not want to do that, you're correct.
- MR. ADAMS: No further questions.

1	THE COURT: Ms. Gullett.
2	MS. GULLETT: No, thank you, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bailey. You may step
4	down.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: And you are excused as a witness in
7	this case.
8	Ms. Gullett, you may call your next witness.
9	MS. GULLETT: So, Judge, this would be the
10	witness that I have on the computer, and so if we could
11	take a break so I could get him all situated.
12	THE COURT: How long do you think his testimony
13	will be?
14	MS. GULLETT: Not too long.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. GULLETT: At least not for me.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's go ahead
18	then and take, what, ten minutes?
19	MS. GULLETT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Fifteen?
21	MS. GULLETT: I should be able to get it
22	THE COURT: Ten minutes?
23	MS. GULLETT: Ten to 15 minutes at the most. If
24	not, I can have this
2 5	THE COURT: So it's about 20 minutes 22

5

minutes until four. Let's take a break until ten till four, and then we'll come back. She's going to set up a video conference.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.

Thereafter, proceedings resumed as follows:)

THE COURT: We are now back on the record in State of Oklahoma versus Michael Eric Nelson. The jury has not been seated back in the courtroom yet. But as an explanation for the record, I believe -- and, Ms. Gullett, you can correct me if I'm wrong, but your next witness, Mr. Mark Ritter, is not available to testify in person, and your plan was to have him testify over video conference. Due to the unexpected death of his son, he can't be here. He's in Colorado. Apparently, we can't get him hooked up today. He's in a place where he can't get on.

It's already after four o'clock, so my intent would be to bring the jurors back in, dismiss them for the day, and then attempt to reach him tomorrow morning. I believe your assistant has talked to him and he would be available 9:30 our time, 8:30 his time.

MS. GULLETT: Yes, ma'am, that is correct.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I don't know how long the next witness is, but we were hoping to close tomorrow. Is it possible for them to put their investigator up and

5

. _

_.

maybe we stop it even if we're not done -- and I don't want to waste an hour. I mean, I don't know.

THE COURT: I -- it's possible, but that's not the way I'm going to do it this evening. I would -- I think it's -- the jurors have heard an awful lot today, a lot of information. Like I said, it's already after four o'clock. By the time we get all that done, it won't -- it would just almost be counterproductive to get started on the investigator and then stop in the middle of it.

So, Madam Bailiff, would you please direct the jurors to their place in the jury box.

(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.

Thereafter, proceedings resumed as follows:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, technology is great when it's great and it's terrible when it's not. So we're not able to get the next witness on. It's already after four o'clock, so I'm going to go ahead and dismiss you rather than have you wait to see if we could get him on video conference. I'm going to go ahead and dismiss you for the evening. I would remind you again not to talk about this case with anyone, not amongst yourselves or allow anyone to talk to you about the case or in your presence either.

We'll take up this case tomorrow. I know the State's going to work on getting their witness here by

1 video conference this evening, make sure it all works, and then, hopefully, tomorrow morning we can get started. 2 We're going to start -- if you'll be here at 9:15 tomorrow 3 morning in the jury room. Then as soon as we get 4 5 everything set up, we'll call you and, hopefully, by 9:15, 9:30, we'll have everything ready to go. 6 Anything further for the record today, Ms. Gullett? 7 8 MS. GULLETT: No, Judge. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Adams? 10 MR. ADAMS: No, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 We will recess, then, for the day, and we will see 13 everybody back here at 9:15 tomorrow morning. Just be 14 sure and leave your notepads and everything. The bailiff 15 will pick them up. You don't need to do anything with 16 those. 17 (Thereupon, court stood in recess until 18 February 8 2023.) 19 20 21 22 23 24

	103
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF TULSA)
6	
7	I, Dee Dee Tanner, a Certified Shorthand
8	Reporter in and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby
9	certify that the foregoing transcript in the above-styled
10	case is a true, correct and complete transcript of my
11	shorthand notes of the proceedings in said cause.
12	Dated this 16th day of May, 2023.
13	
14	
15	De De James
16	Dee Dee Tanner, CSR, No. 01590
17	
18	Sharon D. Tanner
19	State of Oklahoma Certified Shorthand Reporter
20	CSR # 1590 My Certificate Expires 12 - 31-23
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	